K-14011/50/88-NCRPB NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD \*\*\*\*\* 7th Floor, Janpath Bhawan, Janpath, New Delhi - 110001. Dated: 7.10.1988. Subject: 9th Meeting of the NCR Planning Board being held at 4 P.M. on 3.11.1988. The Ninth Meeting of the NCR Planning Board will be held at 4 P.M. on Thursday, the 3rd November, 1988 at Parliament House Annexe, New Delhi. 2. Kindly make it convenient to attend the meeting and confirm your participation. Agenda items proposed to be discussed are enclosed. 3. ( K.K.BHATNAGAR ) Member Secretary To: All Members, Co-opted Members and Special Invitees.

# AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE NINTH MEETING OF THE NCR PLANNING BOARD TO BE HELD ON 3.11.88 AT 4 P.M. IN PARLIAMENT HOUSE ANNEXE, NEW DELHI.

	<u> </u>			
	Sl.N	O. Item	Page	No.
alla	Lida	Confirmation of the minutes of the 8th Meeting of the Board held on 17.5.88.	l to	9
affa	2.	Action taken on the decisions of the 8th Meeting of the Board.	10 &	11
	3.	Business transacted by the Planning Committee/Project Sanctioning & Monit- oring Group, Personnel Group etc.	12	4.55
	4.	RE-1988-89 & BE 1989-90 in respect of Revenue (Non-Plan) and Capital (PLAN) Expenditure.	13 to	18
	5.	Annual Statements of the Accounts for the year 1987-88.	19 to	29
	6.	Annual Statements of loans & advances for the year 1987-88.	or 30 to	34
	7.	Regional Plan - Consideration of the Report submitted by Committee set up in pursuance of the decision in the 8th meeting and approval of the Regional	35 al Pla	ın.
		Any other item with the approval of the	ch <sub>,</sub> ai	r.
		Annexure - I	36 to	56
		Annexure - II	57 to	67

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.-1

CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE 8TH MEETING OF THE BOARD HELD ON 17.5.1988

The Minutes of the 8th Meeting of NCR Planning Board held on 17.5.1988 (copy enclosed for ready reference) were circulated 10.6.1988. The Board may kindly confirm the Minutes of the meeting.

\*\*\*\*\*

She varender Sinh - Not faufully recorded who expressed in what was correct letter is correct.

Amendment accepted.

Meeting Minutes Confirmed

MINUTES OF THE EIGHTH MEETING OF THE NCR PLANNING BOARD HELD ON 17.5.1988 AT PARLIAMENT HOUSE ANNEXE

The following members were present :-

1. Smt. Mohsina Kidwai, Minister of Urban Development

Chairperson

- 2. Shri Devi Lal, Chief Minister of Haryana.
- 3. Shri Shiv Charan Mathur, Chief Minister of Rajasthan.
- 4. Shri H L Kapoor, Lt. Governor, Union Territory of Delhi.
- 5. Shri P Namgyal, Dy. Minister (SFT).
- 6. Smt. Padma Seth,
  Minister of State (UD),
  Government of Uttar Pradesh.
- 7. Shri Verendar Singh,
  Minister of Town Planning & Urban Dev.,
  Government of Haryana.
- 8. Shri R K Verma, Minister, Government of Rajasthan.
- 9. Shri Vishnu Rajoriya, State Minister Housing, Government of Madhya Pradesh.
- 10. Shri Jag Parvesh Chandra, Chief Executive Councillor, Delhi.
- 11. Shri D M Sukthankar, Secretary, M/o Urban Development.
- 12. Shri P M Abraham, Secretary, M/o Surface Transport.
- 13. Shri M N Prasad, Member (Engg.), Railway Board.
- 14. Shri E F N Ribeiro, Chief Planner, TCPO.

- 15. Shri K K Mathur, Chief Secretary, Delhi Administration.
- 16. Shri L C Gupta, Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana.
- 17. Dr. Rakesh Mohan,
  Adviser (HUD),
  Planning Commission.
- 18. Shri Om Kumar, Vice Chairman, Delhi Development Authority.
- 19. Shri N K Sabharwal,
  Joint Secretary,
  Department of Industry.
- 20. Shri P B Saxena,
  Joint Secretary (Finance),
  M/o Urban Development.
- 21. Shri K K Bhatnagar, Member Secretary, NCR Planning Board.

The Chairperson, Smt. Mohsina Kidwai, Minister for Urban Development, welcomed the members, particularly the Chief Ministers of Rajasthan and Haryana. She expressed satisfaction that the Board and the Planning Committee had been meeting regularly for preparation of the statutory plan. She then drew the attention of members towards the items included in the agenda for discussion, particularly items 9 and 10 relating to consideration of objections/suggestions to/on the Draft Regional Plan 2001 and consideration of the Regional Plan. She expressed the hope that it would be possible to finalise the plan with the help of the members and then the phase of implementation would begin.

The Agenda items were taken up for discussion and the following decisions were taken:

#### Agenda Item No. 1.

#### Confirmation of the minutes

The minutes of the meetings held on 21.7.87 (along with the corrigendum issued on 14.9.87) and 20.1.88 were confirmed.

Agenda Item No. 2.

Action taken on the decisions of the Sixth meeting of the Board held on 21.7.87.

The Member-Secretary gave the details of the action taken on the decisions. These were noted.

Agenda Item No. 3.

Details of business transacted by the Planning Committee, Personnel Group and Project Sanctioning and Monitoring Group, etc.

The Board noted the information contained in the Annexures relating to meetings of the Planning Committee and the Project Sanctioning and Monitoring and, Personnel Groups.

#### Agenda Item No. 4

#### Amendment of Regulations

The Member-Secretary explained the need for amending Regulation No. 6 to provide for adoption of the CPF scheme of Government of India for such employees of the Board who may not be eligible for pensionary benefits. The following amended Regulation No. 6 was approved by the Board:

"6. Pension, gratuity, retirement benefits and general provident fund:

The officers and employees of the Board shall be entitled to pension, gratuity, other retirement benefits and general provident fund or contributory provident fund at such rates and under such conditions as are applicable to officers and employees of the Central Government in the corresponding grades."

#### Agenda Item No. 5

Revised Estimates 1987-88 and Budget Estimates 1988-89 in respect of Revenue (Non-Plan) and Capital (Plan) expenditure

The Member-Secretary explained the Non-Plan and Plan provisions for 1987-88 (including Revised Estimates) and the Budget Estimates for 1988-89. The members expressed concern over the inadequate Plan provision. The Chairperson, while agreeing that the provisions were inadequate, remarked that this was due to the scarcity of resources which was primarily because of the conditions of drought in the country.

She also stressed the need of suggesting other measures, so that the objectives of the NCR Plan could be achieved. The Chief Minister of Rajasthan also expressed the need for a policy framework and for creation of conditions in Delhi so that migration from outside would not be encouraged.

#### Agenda Item No. 6

### Annual Statement of Accounts for the year 1986-87

The Board adopted the Statement of Annual Accounts for the year 1986-87.

#### Agenda Item No. 7

## Annual Statement of Loans and Advances for the year 1986-87

The Board noted the Annual statement of Loans and Advances for the year 1986-87. On a query made by the Lt. Governor of Delhi it was explained by the Secretary (UD) that the loans sanctioned to State Governments and development agencies were for various Urban Development projects, and were released according to the progress of expenditure and had been fully utilised.

#### Agenda Item No. 8

The Board approved the Annual Report for the year 1987-88.

#### Agenda Items No. 9 and 10.

Draft Regional Plan - Consideration of objections/ suggestions along with comments and recommendations of the Planning Committee Consideration and approval of the Regional Plan

On a suggestion made by the Chairperson, consideration of these two agenda items was taken up together. Before taking up consideration of these items, an audio-visual presentation of the Regional Plan 2001 was made before the Board by Shri B.N. Singh, Chief Regional Planner. The presentation was appreciated by all the members.

The Chairperson then directed the Member-Secretary to explain the details of the objections/suggestions received and the related issues raised in the agenda items. The Member-Secretary informed that, in all, 37 objections/suggestions were received to/on the Draft

Regional Plan 2001 which included objections/suggestions from the State Governments, DDA, certain institutions and members of the public. The Planning Committee had considered these in two meetings held on 19.11.87 and 16.12.87. The gist of the objections/suggestions and recommendations of the Planning Committee had been compiled in the book circulated for consideration by the Board. The original objections had also been placed on the table of the house for the purpose of record.

The Planning Committee had recommended five amendments to the Draft Regional Plan and notes on those had been included in the agenda item. The Planning Committee had also referred to the Board for its consideration one of the objections made by the Government of Haryana, regarding the industrial policy in the Delhi Metropolitan Area. The Member-Secretary briefly explained the 5 amendments suggested by the Planning Committee to the Draft Regional Plan. The Chairperson then invited the members to express their views on the agenda items, keeping in view specially the status of the National Capital. She further stated that the Plan had no intention of curbing the development efforts of any of the participating States.

Shri Jag Parvesh Chandra, Chief Executive Councillor, Delhi stated that the Plan, as conceived, Executive was against the interests of Delhi. While admitting that Delhi was sinking under the weight of population and the increasing pollution, he said that only the development of seven 'Little Delhis' around the mother city equipped with full facilities, could deflect the growing population away from Delhi to such self-sufficient "Little Delhis". Referring to the problem of resources, he suggested that money should be raised by floating debentures and by developing selffinancing schemes. He also advised recourse institutional funds. He emphasised the importance of the distributive trade and commerce which had developed over several years in Delhi and expressed himself against its shifting. According to him, these were not large employment generating activities. He stated that the problem of congestion in the Capital could be tackled by taking away the godowns to peripheral areas Union Territory. He also expressed himself against the proposals for disincentives to industrial growth in Delhi which may have the effect of reducing it to a "city of Baboos and bicycles". He further observed that employment was mainly provided by the alround construction activity going on in the Capital. also stated that Government and Public Sector offices, which had no justification for continuing in Delhi, should be shifted. He gave the example of the office of the Coastal Guards which had no justification

continuing in Delhi. He also questioned the justification for so many guest houses of public sector undertakings in the Capital. He doubted whether population projections made in the Plan were realistic. While referring to the fiscal policy, he gave instance of tax reduction on motor vehicles and the neighbouring States which electronics by to tax revenues accruing the reduced expressed himself against raising He Administration. the rates of taxes in Delhi. Finally, Shri Chandra emphaised the need for urgent action and said every day was extremely important for Delhi.

for Minister Verendar Singh, Development, Haryana, remarked that the stand taken by Hon'ble Chief Executive Councillor, Delhi, against the very basic concept of the National Capital Region Plan. He wondered that if industries, trade and commerce were not generating employment then, where was the need for insisting on their continuation in Delhi. At the same time, he questioned the justification for placing curbs on growth of industries in the Delhi Metropolitan Area where Haryana Government had spent huge resources for development of infrastructure. was of the view that the spread of population in Delhi could be effectively curbed by allowing the full growth He further doubted whether of the DMA towns. population assignments given in the Plan could be achieved without allowing medium and major industries in these towns. He gave the example of assignments for Kundli, Gurgaon and Faridabad in this connection. suggested that a high power committee should be set up to make an in-depth study of this issue and declared that its recommendations would be acceptable to Haryana in the larger interest. He appreciated the need of viewing the problems of Delhi from the point of view of its being the National Capital and gave the instance of assistance extended by Haryana in meeting the present water crisis in Delhi. He opposed the proposal of industries which were creating shifting pollution in Delhi to Haryana. He further expressed agreement with the point earlier made by the Chief Minister of Rajasthan that no further industrialisation of Delhi should be permitted and, only home and cottage industries should be allowed to develop. He expressed the view that the large scale construction activity in the Capital was definitely creating opportunities for the migrants. Shri Ver employment Shri Verendar Singh emphasised the fact that the policies adopted by the NCR Planning Board were crucial for their State since, as compared to U.P. and Rajasthan, one-third of Haryana was included in the Sub-Region of the National Capital He, therefore, stressed the need for giving commensurate weightage to the views of Haryana while finalising the policies of the Plan.

8

The Chief Minister of Rajasthan, Shri Shiv Charan Mathur, stated that the proposals contained in the Plan He stated that there were no were generally in order. opinion that Delhi should be developed as an ideal National Capital. However, for this purpose, a framework of policies was necessary. He suggested that Delhi should have only home and cottage industries and the construction activity should be reduced. disincentives was necessary to curb the growth activities in the Capital city and economic suggested that even Haryana should develop towns which are away from Delhi. He gave the example of the development of Jaipur where efforts were being made to capital develop the areas away from this State certain disincentives were being envisaged. stated that Delhi, as National Capital, belonged every one and was already enjoying the facility one and was already enjoying the of special funds for development by the allocation Centre and even certain other subsidies. However, there was a need to curb economic activities and to reduce the pressure of commuting population, could be done by an approach of disincentives, shifting of offices, decentralisation of trade, rationalisation of fiscal structure, levy of consignment tax etc. He stressed the need to include Bhiwadi town in the alignment of the proposed regional rail by-pass. He also emphasised the need for creation of a seed capital of Rs. 200 crores, so that the policies contained in the be supported by definite financial could commitments.

Urban Minister for Padma Seth, Shrimati U.P., generally endorsed the Development, expressed by the Chief Minister of Rajasthan. 5 She raised the question of the likely conflict between the State legislation and the proposal contained in the Draft Regional Plan for approval of individual master The Member-Secretary plans of cities by the Board. explained the provision and stated that this could be done by following the policy of obtaining approval in advance from the Board. The Chairperson stated that the conformity of the individual master plan with the Sub-Regional and Regional plans was necessary and, need be, the State legislation may have to be amended to provide for this essential approval. On a suggestion made by the Urban Development Minister, U.P., it was agreed that the written speeches of the Chief Ministers of Haryana and Rajasthan and Urban Development Minister, U.P., may be taken as read and placed on record.

Winding up the discussion, the Chairperson stated that in view of the very important observations which had been made, it was necessary to examine them at length before finalisation of the Plan. She stated

9

that in view of the continuing scarcity of resources, priorities would also have to be laid down for development and, to begin with, development of infrastructure should be taken up. She stressed the need for a policy of give and take to achieve the objective of a manageable Delhi. On her suggestion, the Board agreed to constitute a Committee consisting of the Chief Executive Councillor, Delhi, Urban Development Ministers of Haryana, Rajasthan and U.P. and Chief Secretaries of Delhi, U.P., Haryana and Rajasthan, to go into the following issues; the Committee should submit its report to the Board within three months and a meeting of the Board should then be convened to consider the Plan:

- (i) Policy relating to location of industries, wholesale trade and commerce. This should include not only the location policies for DMA but also Delhi.
- (ii) Fiscal policy. This, apart from rationalisation of tax structure, should include the consideration of the question of disincentives to trade and commerce in Delhi and the proposed shifting and decentralisation of these activities.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chairperson.

\*\*\*\*

No. K-14011/23/88-NCRPB NCR Planning Board

\*\*\*\*

Dated the 10th June, 1988

Copy forwarded to all concerned for information and necessary action.

( B N Singh ) Chief Regional Planner

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.-2

ACTION TAKEN ON THE MINUTES OF THE 8TH MEETING OF THE NCR PLANNING BOARD ON 17.5.1988.

#### Agenda Item No. 1:

<u>Confirmation of the Minutes of the 7th Meeting</u> of Board held on 20.1.88.

No action called for.

#### Agenda Item No. 2:

Action taken on the decision of 6th Meeting of Board held on 21.7.87.

No action called for.

#### Agenda Item No.3:

Details of business transacted by the Committee Personnel Group and Project Sanctioning and Monitoring Group, etc.

No action called for.

### Agenda Item No. 4: Amendment of Regulations:

As required under the NCR Planning Board Act, 1985 the notification amending the regulations have been issued in consultation with the Ministry of Urban Development.

#### Agenda Item No. 5:

Revised Estimated 1987-88 and Budget Estimated 1988-89 in respect of Revenue (Non-Plan) and Capital (Plan) expenditure.

No action called for.

#### Agenda Item No. 6:

Annual Statement of Accounts for the year 1986-87.

No action called for.

#### Agenda Item No. 7:

Annual Statement of Loans and Advances for the year 1986-87.

No action called for.

#### Agenda Item No. 8:

Annual Report of the NCR Planning Board for the year 1987-88.

No action called for.

#### Agenda Item No. 9 & 10:

Draft Regional Plan - Consideration of objections/ suggestions along with Comments and recommendations of the Planning Committee. Consideration and approval of the Regional Plan.

As decided by the Board in their,8th Meetig the committee was constituted vide Board's order No. K-14011/23/88-NCRPB dt.21.6.88. The Committee met twice on 8.7.88 and 2.8.88 and have submitted their report. The Minutes of the Meetings and the report submitted by the committee are given in Annexure-I. A separate agenda item had beeen included for considertion of the report.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### AGENDA ITEM NO. 3

DETAILS OF BUSINESS TRANSACTED BY THE PLANNING COMMITTEE, PERSONNEL GROUP AND PROJECT SANCTIONING AND MONITORING GROUP ETC.

A copy of the minutes of the 14th meeting of the Planning Committee held on 3.5.88 given in the Annexure-II for kind perusal.

\*\*\*\*\*

Superus Esp. 1 Plan

2.1 1.30

due creek, the day, there produces are as proper

24.70 703.00

Propins Advisor

Agrapted Argings

Budget Latractes

and about the board,

Statement showing RE-1989-90 vis-3-vis the Actual Expenditure...

SG)	
in	
thousa	
sands)	

	Net-Total	2. Misc. receipts	of last year	Total	e cubenza	Taxes	Rent Bates P	Travel Expenses	Salaries Wages		Coject of Expendi-
	1439	1	1	1439	2	, 1		10	422 12	1988-86	Actuals
	2095	(-) 6	(-)61	2162	r	255	07.4	22	06 T6 <b>6</b>	1986-87	Actuals
	2239	(-) 6	(-)94	2339	15	352	513	47	1378	1927-83	4 Actuals
	2470	e:	2.9					3		1	5
	1324	(-) 2	(-)94	1410	15	263	297	32	789		6
	977	(-) 6	(-)12	935		%	208	12	664	for fire for five months of 1988-	- 1
	1717	(-) 6	ı	1723	I-110	239	413	ಜ	930	Anticipated remaining period of 1988-39	ω .
	2694	(-)12	(-)2	2708	(-)10	438	621	40 45	1574	1938-89	9
	2850	(-)15	li e	2865	10	416	675	60 00	1684	BE-1989-90	1 (
(Rs.75,000/-).	Steno Grade 'C' seactioned recently for the Chairerson of	talment of D.A. likely to be sanctioned w.e.f. July,1988, Bonus for the year 1982-89 and	(ii) Additional provision has been made for payment of inc.	the RE-1988-89 to cover pay- ment under the CREIS in the event of occurance of some mishap.	(i) Lumpsum provision of Rs.	cess of Rs.2.24 lakhs. This excess is due to:	the Revised Estimatesare for Rs.26.94 lakhs i.e., an ey	Against the sanctioned budget grant of Rs.24.70	(a) REVISED ESTINGES; 1999-29;	Reasons for variation	4 44

Grant No.74—Housing & Urban Development
D.Urban Development
D.1-NCR

D.1(1)(1)-Grant in eid to NCR Planning Board

(Non-Plan)

(iii) Due to hike in the rates of telephone tarrif by the Maharagar Telephone Nigam and Nigam an

(žv) could not be paid during last year due to shortage of funds. This has to be paid during this year. the month of 5/88 (Rs.33,676/-) There has been general rise in the prices also resultain increased expenditure under office expenses.

#### (b) BUDGET ESTIMATES: 1989-90:

The proposed BE-1989-90 is Rs.28.50 lakhs against RE-1989-90 for Rs.26.94 lakhs, thus causing an excess of Rs.1.36 lakhs only. This is due to:

- (0) Annual Increments and payment of D.A. Instalments
- (ii) Provision for filling up of vacant posts
- (iii) Replacement of daily wage staff with regular staff; and
- (iv) Interest on provident liablity in respect of four deputationists who have permanently absorbed in the Board and their GPF balances are being transferred to the Board by their parent office. This liability is around Rs.50,COO/-Apart from this C.P.F. scheme has been introduced from July, 1988. The impact of this would for the full year under BE-1989-90 whereas it was for part of the year under RE-1988-89.

Finance & Accounts Officer

# NATIONAL CAPITAL RESION PLANNING BOAPD

Stayement showing the RE-1988-89 vis-2-vis Actual Expenditure

(PLAN)

Grant No.74-Housing & Urban
Development
CC-Capital outlay on
Urban Development
CC.1.N.C.R.
CC.1(1)-Contribution to
NCR Planning
Board Fund.

(Figs.	
'n	
LAKES	
0	
Rupees)	

Object of Expendi- ture	Actuals f 1985- 19 86 87	- 198	<u>Year</u> Sa 1987- Es 88	EE-1928-89	Actuals for last 7 mon- ths 1987-88	Actuals for Ist Five months 1988-39	Anticipated expenditure for remain-ing 7 months	RE-1938-39	BE-1989-90	Reasons for variations
1	2 3		4	5	6	7	æ	9	00	
1.Loans to State Govts./Implemen- ting agencies 3	375.00 4	400.00	723.20	ë.	548.20	I)	1100.00	00.0011	1856.00	
II.Studies & Surveys.	4.93	9.27	11.40	•	5.03	4.22	15.78	20.03	20.00	of Providing financial assistance to participating State Govts./
III.Preparation of NCR Plan							20			financing NCM Schemes.
regional Plans by the State Govts. through their NCR cells)	1	1.53	1.50	ř.	1.50	1	20.00	20.00	20.00	
IV.Long term ad- vances to em- playees of the								#/ (W)		, S
Foerd.  V.Land & Euilding	t	,	0.24		0.24	_ 1;	0.25	0.25	1.00	
for NCR Poard's office	1	ř	ı		,	t.	120.00	100.00	100.00	
Tota	Total:379.93	3 410.30	736.34		555.02	4,22	1236.03	1240.05	1997,00	
LESS: (i) interest on loans	(-)1.2	3 (-)36.	(-)1.23 (-)36.53 (-)75.62	.62	(-)75.00	.91(-)	(-)16.19 (-)115-31	(-)135,50	(-)220.00	
(ii)Interest on Eank Deposits	(-)1.5	(-)1.58 (-)1.30	0 (-)10.94	.94	(-)8.79	(-)1.77	7 (-) 3.38	(-) 5.15	(-) 5.00	
(iii)Repayment of loans & other receipts	1		1		1	0.0(-)	(-)0.04 (-)0.06	(-)0.10	(-)22.00	
(iV)Unspent balan- ce of Previous year	1	(-)12.83	33 (-)64.86	.96	(-)64.86	(-)15.	(-)15.08 (-)15.08	1	1	
Net Total	377.17	377.17 360.14	584.92	792.00	406.37	(-)28.86	.86 1128.86	00.0011	1750.00	101

Finance & Accounts Officer

#### Capital Receipts during 1989-90

Inte	rest	on	loans:

-	-	٦
ı.	a	_)

(a)	
(i) Interest on loans sanctioned upto 1987-88	134.50
(ii) Interest on loans to be sanctioned during 1988-89 at 9.5% (average rate)	85,50
Total-(a)	220.00
(b) Repayment of Principal:	22.00
(c) Interest on Bank Deposits (app.)	5.00
(d) Repayment of long term advances & other receipts	0.25
Total.	247,25

Say Rs.247.00/-

## Schemes from which refund of Instalment of Principal is due during 1989-90

1.	Begum Bridge Area-Development of	Rs.10.00	Lakhs
2.	Construction of Shivaji Park Complex at Alwar	Rs. 2.94	
3.	Development of Transport Nagar at Panipat	Rs. 9.20	, ,,
	Total:	Rs.22.14	,,

## ANNUAL STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS FOR THE YEAR-1987-88

According to Clause- 25 of the NCR Planning Board Act,1985 the accounts of the Board for the year 1987-88 were maintained in the form prescribed by the Government in consultation with the Comptroller & Auditor General of India. Detailed accounts was well as Annual Statement of the Accounts were audited by the Director of Audit, Commerce, Works & Miscellaneous, New Delhi on hehalf of Comptroller & Auditor General of India. The Audit certificate along with the Statement of Annual Accounts has been submitted by the Director of Audit, Commerce, Works & Misc. to the Ministry of Urban Development. These would be placed on the Table of both the Houses of Parliament after approval by the Board.

The Statement of Annual Accounts with the Audit Certificate is submitted to the Board for adoption and approval.

\*\*\*\*

20

#### AUDIT CERTIFICATE

I have examined the accounts and the Balance Sheet of the National Capital Region Planning Board. New Delhi for the year ending 31st March 1988. I have obtained all the information and explanations that I have required and I certify as a result of my audit that in my opinion, these accounts and Balance Sheet are properly drawn up so as to exhibit a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the National Capital Region Planning Board according to the best of my information and explanations given to me and as shown by the books of the Board.

Ans.

( D.S. IYER )

Place: NEW DELHI

Dated: [3.19.88]

100		i) Pay Rs.7,76,034.00	22,41,630,60	Urban Development
		I. Salary	1,25,399.72	Unspent balance E/F Grant in aid received from M/o
Rs. P.	A Copy		75. P	
		7 E-3a of McCount		1 h 1 - 1 - 1 -
			,	). Gaed of Account
	PAMETS	124		THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
		_		
	1	REVENUE GRAIT FORTHE YEAR 1987-88 0 /	OF THE	
			-	

11,57,391.50

3,62,032.00

20,345,00

2,443.00

39,530.00

38 ji 70.00 e,272.00

47,363,40 24,036.50

1,72,634.00

29,689.99

1,596,00

1,25,999.72  1. Salary 1) Pry 11) Allowences Rs.7,76,034.00 2. Wages 3. Travel expenses 4. Cifice expenses 4. Cifice expenses 5. Advardstant C publicity 6. Crafts to Tiffin room 7. Fore and handrium 11,207.55 8. Rout, Rates and Expenses 9. Licenses for prid to Directorate of Estates in respect of Government residences 10. Foreign service contribution: 11 Leave Salary 120.00 11 Pension 12. Medical charges prid to the Directorate of GS 13. Denosits and Advances: 14. Deposits with California 15. Denosits with California 16. Summance 17. Rectific Expenses 18. Summance 19. Rectific Expenses 19. Rectific Expension 24.7,100.00 11. Foreign Expenses 19. Security Caposits with Directorate of GS 10. Summance 19. South Expension 10. GS Fund			Fund of regular employees of search	r advance 3s. 1,510.00 wince 3s. 8,835.00 cl advance 3s. 6,40.00 wince 3s. 6,500.00 wince 3s. 6,500.00	i) Income I cx ii) CGESIS As. 8,960.00 iii) GP Fund As. 2,12,241.00 E.E.A. 35 42,192.00	94	n Etc. of Estates	slide ed to the employees  of the Board  Interest on cycle advance  Penal interest on LIC advances Rs. 23.00  Total other receipts	Cther receipts  a) Private use of staff car Rs. 363.80 b) Group Insurance Rs. 5,461.00 c) GRNS contribution Rs. 702.00 d) Licence is of Sovt. residence	Unspent belance B/F Grant in aid received from %/o Urban Development 22,41,
.00 .30  Rs. 74,387.00 Rs. 92.247.00  Rs. 95.247.00  As. of Estates  leghone Higam  . of Estates  alcry bill:  Rs.	ð	Manager of	(a) Incon (b) OBESI (c) (c) Fu (d) (d) Fu (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d)	14. Suspense  1) Accrist 8	Demosits and  Demosits and  Festival  Demosits  And Demosits	8 n H-	9. Licence fee paid Government resid	5. Advertisement 6 6. Crants to Iffin 7. Rees and honomare 8. Rent Star and	2. Wages 2. Wages 3. Travel expenses 4. Office expenses	I. Salary
		Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs.	Rs. 1	ery from salary bill:	id to the Directorate of CHS  ces:  ces:	뚔	te of Estates in respon	on and a second	8: -3 131 ,357 .30	ls . 7 , 7 é , 034 . 50

I otal

27,98,164.27

16.

Glosing Salance

II) GP Fund withdrawels to Deputationists
Interest on GP Fund
Bank fixed deposits
Closian F.

Finance and Accounts Officer

(K K Enthager)

27,98,164,2 15,000,00 4,139,00 92,400.00 3,47,500.50

ST AT EI EI ET AND PAYMENT OF P E YEAR 1987-38

\_ No. \_ Head of Account

PAYMENTS

\_ Head\_of Account \_ \_ RECEIPIS CAPITAL - Amount

64,86,064.08

1. Unspent belance E/F

Contributions received

from the

6,00,00,000.00

M/o Urban Development

Receipt of interest on loans from State Governments/Implementing

agencies:

UP Govt. Lucknow HUDA, Chandigarh Urban Improvement

As.3386873 As.2929750

Rs.1245250

75,61,873.00

HUDA, Chandigath UP Govt. Lucknow

Trust, Alvar (Rajasthan)

Studies & Surveys Preparation of NGR Plan

Scooter advances

Lorns to State Government/ Implementing secucies:

Re .37600000 Re .1790000

Drban Improvement Bc. 652COCO

72,32,0000.00

11,35,700.00

1,50,030.00 24,480.00

Closing balance

Heiber Secretary Tropert)

Finance e Accounts Officer

4. Bank Interest

Total:

7,51,42,064.48

10,94,127.40

Trust, Alwar (Rajasthan)

15,07,854.48

7,51,42,064.48

23.25

## RECEIPT AND PAYMENT ACCOUNT OF THE GRANT FOR PURCHASE OF AUDIO VISUAL EQUIPMENT FOR THE YEAR -1987-88

RECEIPT

PAYMENTS

Head of Account	Amount Rs.	Head of Account	Amount Rs.
Grant in aid received from M/O Urban Development	1,50,000	- Closing balance	1,50,000
Total	1 50 000		1 50 000

(K.L.Sacher) Finance & Accounts Officer

(K.K.Bhatnagar) Member Secretary

# INC. N. D. EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT FOR

, y'		ID EXPENDITURE ACCO	JUT FOR	AMOUNT	
	THC YAE A	WEAR 1987-88		Rs. P.	
J.	11	OUTDIAGUE A MAN	IIICONE		
1.	Solaries  (a) Pay (b) Allowances  FXPSIDITURE Rs. P.  7,76,004.000 3,81,357.80	11,57,391.80 24,036.60	1. Grant in Aid (Revenue received from Myo Un Davelopment for Estimant and Administration expenditure (Recurrents) from 10 associated from 10 asso	ive ing)i.o. penditure	
		.17,363.40	total Grant lussots	, man (V)	00
2.	112008	ь	Grant 22,	9,917,80 20,61,082	20
3,	Trevel expenses			712:11	
4	Office Expenses 12,530.50		acton Receivts		
	(i) Postado (1) 2.019.35 Liverios (2.018.35 Telephone (-) 2.029.15		private use or	363.80	
	(iv) Stationary 10,109.05		Group Insurance Scheme recoveries	5,461.00	
	(vi) Petrol &		Interest on cycle	33.00	
	(vii) Conveyance 1,436.30 charges of		Penal Interest on ITC advance Total other	104,00	.•80
	(a)Office 58,732,80		rocolpts		
	(b) Furniture 8 furnishing 4,829.30 (4x) Mac.other 11.750.60				
	items 4,363.75	3K		•	
	(x1) Grant to tiffin 4,094,50	10			
	room Meeting Expenses 1,750,00	x4.	- all last to a	1A	
	(xii) Advertisation 8,272.00	2,11,957,20		handaha 1975 M	
	Total Office Expenses	29,689.99			
	- Hearthality expenses	20,345,00			
	6. Fees and Honorarium				
	6. Foos and Honoralida. 7. Modical charges to Director of	894.00		8 0	
	Service Contribution:				
		1,72,634.00		X X	
(600)	(3 1 ) DODS 1 011	3,62,032.00		1 1	
	Tax05				
	in Licence fee to bill residence	26,993.25			
	Interest on GP Fund	4,189.00		-	Of the law control to the law of the
-3	dilbrolant.	ture 9,507.76		20.67	,044.C
	Excess of Income over Expenditions formed to Dalance Sheet	7,0071			
	transferred to sta	20,67,044.00	)	(	
	GRAND TUTAL		74	100	1-
	QLE P. P.		10	yanna	7
		1 1	0.17	(TEDECTE TO ACT OF ACT	

Pinenco E Accounts Officer

(KK-matnagar)
Moinbor Secretary

PLATITING BOARD

BALANCE 1983

		8,69,92,250.81	: 91,e22.75		3c,000.co	2,233,00	37,75,770.54 E,63,67,855,06	2,82,286.74	8,15,0C,000.00	13,05,797.78	1.1987 1.087
	Finance	Tot:1 15,60,47,475.77	16 6	Excass of Income over expenditure E/F from Rs.91,802.75	3. Suspense and Denosits  (a) Excess amount received on transfer of account from State Bank of India to State Bank of Patiala 30,000.00  (b) Receipt and recovery from	No. of the last of	cans	IV. Sank Interest 13,76,414.14		1. National Capital Region Flanning Board Fund Account  I. Revenue grant for non- recurring expenditure As.1485715.58	unt as
	ce & Accounts Officer	2,60,8	65,03,707,28 7,763,44	A O	525.00	.760.00		14,19,615.00	7,75,00,000.00	As. P. 13,C7,C48,E1	int as 3.1987
Foot Note: The emount of Bank Tixed Deposit for 1.92,400/ includes 1.30,800/- which was transferred in excess during August, 1986 by the State War of India, Mirman Shawan, New Delhi to the Soar Account in State Jank of Fatials, Farliament Street, New D.lhi.	Member Secretary	Cash ir hand Rs. 1,773.79 Total 15,	8. Bank Fixed Deposit  Bank & Cash balances:  Savings A/c Ro.16,54,557.68  Current A/c Rs. 3,254.49	(c) Deposits with Mahanagar Telephone Higam (d) GP Fund withdrawal by deputationists	7. Suspense and Deposit (a) Deposits with Directorate of Estates (b) Deposits with C.P.M.D.	6. Outstanding short term	Scooter för noes (Annexure IV)	Surveys	2. Interest bearing loans to State Govern units/implementing agencies (knowner II) 14,93,20,000,00	As.  1. Assets of per (Annexure I) 14,	Asrets An
sit for L.S2,KOy s transferred by the State Wan Delhi to the Soar als, Farliament		16,59,585.96 15,60,47,475.77	92 <b>,</b> 400.e0	69,208.00 15,000.00	350.00 ±		24,480.00	25,59,315.00 = 67 469 76	3,20,000,00	Rs. P. 14,86,966.61	Amount as on

Member Secretary

Member Secretary

Foot Hote: The amount of Bank Tixed Deposit for L.CD.4CO/includes L.3CLC/- which was transferred
in excess during August, 1986 by the State Bank
of India, Nirman Shawan, New Delhi to the Scarc's
Account in State Lank of Faticle, Farliament



#### SUNNARY OF ASSETS 1 87-88

	SUNNARY OF ASSETS	, 81=00	<b>-</b> 24		41.5
Name of Asset	Expenditure incurre	rre	enditure incu- d during 7-88	Total	Ref. to pa no. of S/F
B 4 4			Rs		e. p.
. Furniture	227801.70		432.00 51473.80	279707 • 50	100
n u tabled	64645.00	\	1653.00	66298 .00	146
. Furnishing			-	94537.51	116
. Motor Car	94537.51				120
*	105500,00		-	105500.00	120
1. Motor Van	100000.110			55014.65	108
	45430.65		10384.00	22014.00	
5. Type writer				57327.10	:110
6. Electronac Typewriter	57327.10		-		
0. 11400101111			_	18540.25	126
7. Duplicating Machine	18540.25			118552.60	128
	118552.60		-	118352.60	
8. Photo copier	000 (%)		-	308.00	118
9. Calculator	308.00			Y	
O. Cycle/Postal weighing	112		-	.1468.80	118
O. Cycle/Postal Werginson	1468.00		they also be a	4.5 %	104
1. Tape recorder	1073.20	W. e.	2330.00	3403+20	124
Two-in-one	77186.40	Marine di	4039.00	81225.40	130.
2. Air Conditioner .	774.004.10		1044 (20	38709.95	112
3. Elect. Appliances	36765.95		1944.00		141
	2896.55		6634.00	9530.55	141.
4. Padestal& Wall fans	20,000	W 10		8370.00	154
5. Water Cooler	8370.00		104, TX	3409.50	122
	837.50	- B	2572.00	3409.50	
16. Wall Clocks	4290.00		4290.00	8580,00	140
k7. Auto Diallers	1250100				142
18. Installation of addl.	22985.00			22985.00	143
powerphoints by C	248108.00		16162.00	264270.00	143
19. PVC Partitions	24010000				144
20. False ceiling tube	1821.6.00		•	18216.00	
fitting			-	58146.00	J.64 18
l . Ferro printing Machine	58146.00		2568 •CO	87677,55	
22. Drawing materials&equipmen	ts 85109.55		6737.25	14900.30	132
23. Books/maps	8163.05		39364.00	39364.CO	156
24. Intercom	-	, 2X		7040.CO	160
	-		7040.00		158
25. Refridgerator	47	1 0 X	6534 ·CO	6534.CO	
26. Franking Machine	790.00	F	15760.75	16550.75	118
27. Misc	790100		I HOOLE OO	1486966.61	
	1.307040.81	4 1-1-2	T486T4 *80		

#### ANNEXURE 11

#### DETAILS OF OUTSTANDING LOANS

SI. No.	To whom given	Amount outstand- ing as on 31.3.87	Loan given during 1987-88	Amount outstand as on 31.3.88
	The state of the s			•
- 1.	Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow	4,00,00,000	3,76,00,000	7,76,00,000
	VI			
2.	Haryana Urban Development	2,63,50,000	2,79,00,000	5,42,50,000
	Authority, Chandigarh	Sc. 22	4	* 1
2,8	*		e; )a	a a x = t
3.	Urban Improvement Trust Alwar	1,11,50,000	68,20,000	1,79,70,000
	(Rajasthan)		Ä	

28

#### DETAILS OF EXPENDITURE ON STUDIES AND SURVEYS

179	^				
SI. No.	Name of study & survey	Estimated cost	expenditu incurred to 3/87		Expenditure incurred up to 31.3.88
J. •	Migration studies in the context of NCR Plan	4,50,000	4,43,850	**	4,43,850
	1 1,000				n (40)
2.	Study of infor- mal sector in NCR	3,05,000	2,74,500	30,500	3,05,000
	Fiscal Policy	W 42 - 5 - 5	1 X 1 X E 30		· •
A Section	for NCR	2,30,000	1,15,000	1,15,000	2,30,000
	Landuse analy- sis based on landsat Image- ries and Aerial photog- raphy	3,42,465	3,42,465	6 I	3,42,465
5.	Computer mod- eling for Urban Development	1,85.000	75,000	75,000	1,50,000
6.	Traffic and		200	*	e e H e
	Transportation studies	8,80,000	1,68,800	6,23,200	7,92,000
7.	Settlement system	10,000	-	10,000	10,000
8.	Counter magnet area study	4,00,000	~	2,20,000	2,20,000
9.	Integration of all inputs and analytical works	5	409		
	&statistical calculations	16,000	-	16,000	1.6,000
10.	setting up central for studies& policies on	1,00,000	Ve), (	50,000	50,000
	in:formal Sector	9,18,465	14,19,615	11,39,700	25,59,315

#### ANNEXURE LV

## DETAILS OF OUTSTANDING LONG TERM ADVANCES TO STAFF

Schaler advance

(a) Shri J.N. Barman, Town Planner

Rs. 12,240 .00

(b) Shri P. Jayapal - do-

Rs. 12,240 .00

Total

24,480 .00

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.6

#### ANNUAL STATEMENT OF LOANS & ADVANCES FOR THE YEAR 1987-88

According to Rule-47 of the NCR Planning Board Rules, 1985, the officer-in-charge of the Accounts of the Board is required to submit to the Board Annual Statement showing details of out-standing loans in respect of the following heads in form'G'& 'H':-

- (a) Loans and advances by the Board in form'G'
- (b) Loans and advances received by the Board in form 'H'.

The Annual Statements of loan for the year 1987-88 in the prescribed forms are submitted for information of the Board. In regarddto loans sanctioned by the Board to the participating States/Implementing agencies, the repayment of instalments of principal has not become due so far. There is no outstanding default in payment of interest. The statementsoof loans/advances received by the Board (Form 'H') may be treated as NIL'.

\*\*\*\*\*

FORM H NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD

Statement of loans/advances received by the Board-Financial year 19.87-88

[See Rule 47(2]

Name of	Amoun	Rate	No' and		Amount	t Total	Repa	yment of	principal	vanne ve o	Paym	ent of inte	rest
person/ party ; receiving the lonn/ advance	. loan/ ad- vanco recei- veti	intefest	date of orders autho- rising loan/the		rece- ived during the year		Instal- ments of repay- ment during the year and arrears of instal- ments due relating to earlier years if		Amount of de- faults in repay- ments of prin- cipal (Cois-8-9) (figures to be shown year-wiso)	of loans/ advances at the close of the year (Col. 7-	of in-	paid and credite to re- venue during the year	of interest un- paid d
		No sale			, ×		any (figures					1 <b>*</b> .V	
4		,au		•		-	to be shown year-wise)						
ì	2	10 a - 1 a - 1 a - 1 a - 1	. 4	5	6	7	8	0	10		12	13	14

# NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD

(Amount in Rupees)

Statement of Loans/Advances sanctioned by the Board.
Financial Year 1987-88
(See Rule 47(1))

	I.Urban Improve- ment Trust, Alwar(Raj)	1	Name of person/ party receiving the loan/advance
	Rs. 68.20 lakbs	2	Amount of loan advance sanctioned.
	10.5%	ω	Rate of Interest
Shivaji o Park(£#\$) Scheme I-12014(2) /(Raj)/Al- war-87-88- NCRPB dt. 17.3.37 Rs.1470. Iakhs 2. Const. de at Alwar I-1204/1/Raj (Alwar)87-88/ NCRPB dt.6.7.87. Rs.25.00 lakhs 3. Hasan Khan Mewati Nagar (Shivaji Park Extn.) Alwar I-12014/3/(Raj)/ Alwar/87-88-NCR PB dt.5.2.98 Rs.28.50 lakhs. Iotal Rs.68.20 lakhs	(1) Commer-	4	No.& date of orders authori- sing the loan advance
(2) (2) Al- Al- 88- H.  Y Al- Alwar	Rs. r- 111.50	υ.	Balance from last year
	Rs• 68•20 12kh\$	6	Amount T advance- d this year
	Rs 179.70 1akhs	7	Total
	i.	8	Payment Instal- of re- payme- ntwthe year s arre- ars of Instt. due rela- ting to earliar year if any.
	Ü	9	1
	Æ	10	of Principal Ant. of Ant. of Ant. of Ant. of Ant. of Principal Ant. of Ant. of B. principal re- It in a paid '1-repay- additing ments of principal (cdl.8 & 9) (figures to be shown year wise)
	Rs. 179.70 1akhs	11	of Principal Ant. of Ant. of Balance princi- defau- of loans/ pal re- lt in advance paid 'i-repay- at the during sements close the ncipal year (cd.8 8, 9) (figures to be shown year wise)
	12,45,25	12	Amt. of interest due for and upto the year under review
	12,45,250 12,45,250	13	Paymer Amt. of interest received and credited to revenue during the Year
	-mil-	14	payment of Interest of Balance of Interest rest unpaid ived credicre- ne duri- ne year
	160		Interest

	14	-ni1-							
		Rs. 33,86,873							
	13	Rs.						x	
	12	Rs. 33,86,873							
	п	Rs. 776.00 1 akhs							
	10								
	0								
100	8	Rs. 776.00 - 1akhs							
	7	Rs. 376.00 7						4) •	
	9					erut) 6.7.37	E 22	ar Centre Herut HAIT)	
	2	RS. 400.00 1akhs 1akhs 1akhs 1akhs 1akhs 1akhs 1akhs 1akhs	srmuk-	/(UP) 7-88-77.7.37	Meerut Shwar Front of	1/UP(Mee. RPB dt.6 1 lakhs. Opment Ovement	Meerut. (6)/UP/ 7-88-NCR 38 fmd 5.1 1akhs	rgha Nag um work h-I at M 4)UP(MEE RPB dt.5	Takhs
	4	Bs. Scheme lake at Pall- avepuram on Meerut RorkeerRoad. I-12012/3/ UP(Meerut) 87-88-NR PB dt.6.7.87 Rs.175.00 lakhs ilkesdl. Scheme bet- Ween Meerut-	Meerut, Garmuk- teshwer road,	Meent, I-12012/2/(UP) Meerut/87-88- NCRPB dt.7.7.87 Rs.25 lakhs	(iii)Resdl. Scheme on Meerut Garnukteshwar road in front of Medical Callege Meerut.	I-12012/1/UP(Mee 87-88-NCRPB dt.6 85.100.00 lakhs. (iv)Development and Improvement	Area in Meerat. I-12012(6)/UP/ Meerat 87-88-NCRPE dt .19/1/88 (~45.2.1988 RS .50.00 lakks.	(V)Hathkargha Nagar Resdl. cum work Centre Scheme Ph-I at Meerut. I-12012(4)UP(MEERI) 87-88-NCRPB dt.5.2,88	Hs.26.00 lakhs
	က	9.25%							
	2	Rs. 376.00 1akhs							,
		II.Government of Uttar Pradesh Lucknow	1						
1	I	H							

5

Total Rs.376.00 lakhs

2.

ω

4.

<u>ن</u>

0

7.

00

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

. 14.

R.542.50 Lakhs

ı

ı

ı

R542.50 Rs. Rs. Lakhs 29,29,750 29,29,750

Lin

•	2
7	

	IIIHaryana Urban Develop- ment Authority, Chandigarh.
	Rs 279.00 Lakhs
	10.5%
dt.6.7.87 R:.25.00 lakhs.  iii) Resdl. Scheme for Sector 11 & 12 at Panipat I-12013/3/HNA/Panipat/87-88-NCRPB dt.6.7.87. R:.50.00 lakhs iv) Transport Nagar Complex (Sector 25) at Panipat I-12013/4/HNA/Panipat/87-88-NCRPB dt.7.3.88 R:.46.00 lakhs. Informal Sector Scheme v) Purchase of land at Panipat No.I-12013/5/HNA/Panipat No.I-12013/5/HNA/Pan	i) Sector No.15 (Industrial) New No.18-19-20. Sectors Gurgaon- No.I-12C13/1/HNA (Gurgaon) 87-88- NCRPB dt.7.7.87  **.125.00 lakhs  ii) Construction of link road for Sector 4 & 7 Gur- gaon-I-12C13/2/HNA/ Gurgaon/87-88-NCRPB
eme 4/	263.50 1akhs
34 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1	Rs .279 .50 Lakhs

(K.L.SACHAR)
Finance & Accounts Officer

Total-18.279.00 lakhs.

#### AGENDA ITEM NO:7

REGIONAL PLAN-CONSIDERATION OF THE REPORT SUBMITTED BY COMMITTEE SET UP IN PURSUANCE OF THE DECISION IN THE 8TH MEETING AND APPROVAL OF THE REGIONAL 'PLAN

In pursuance of the decision taken in the 8th Meeting of the NCR Planning Board held on 17.5.88, a committee consisting of the Chief Executive Councillor, Delhi, Urban Development Ministers of Haryana, Uttar Pradesh and Rajasthan and the Chief Secretaries of Delhi, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh and Rajasthan, was constituted vide Board's order No.K-14011/23/88-NCRPB dt.21.6.88. Subsequently, the Chairperson of the Board also decided to include the Lt.Governor Delhi as a special invitee. The Committee met twice on 8.7.88 and 2.8.888 and have submitted their report. The report was circulated among the Members of the committee for inviting their comments upto 12th Sept.,1988. No comments have been received. The Board is requested to consider the recommendations of the committee for being incorporated in the Regional Plan. The Plan with the proposed modifications may also be approved.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

MS.

CEC - More empson on proliter Dell.

Della- describation by wary and.

Solestapo lordent by wary and.

Solestapo lordent by wary and.

CEC - New Town - Ly CEC

Minutes of the First Meeting of the Committee of the NCR Planning Board held at 11 A M on July 8, 1988 in the Chamber of Minister of State (UD), Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi set up to consider certain policies of Regional Plan - 2001 NCR.

The following were present :

Shri Dalbir Singh, Minister of State (UD), Ministry of Urban Development, New Delhi.

#### N C R Planning Board :

- Shri K.K. Bhatnagar, Member Secretary, NCR Planning Board, New Delhi.
- Shri B.N. Singh, Chief Regional Planner, NCR Flauning Board, New Delhi.

#### Haryana :

- 1. Shri Verendar Singh, Minister of Town & Country Planning, Government of Haryana,
  Chandigarh.

  2. Shri A.N. Mathur,
- Secretary, Town & Country Planning, Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.
- 3. Shri Anil Razdan,
  Director, Town & Country Planning, Haryana.
- 4. Shri B.D. Gulati,
  Chief Coordinator Planner, NCR,
  Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

Delhi U T:

1. Shri Prem Singh, Executive Councillor (Development), Delhi Administration.

2. Shri K.K. Mathur, Chief Secretary, Delhi Administration, Delhi.

#### Rajasthan:

- Shri Chandra Prakash, Secretary, Urban Development & Housing, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 2. Shri C.S. Mehta,
  Chief Town Planner,
  Government of Rajasthan,
  Jaipur.

#### Uttar Pradesh :

- 1. Shri J.A. Kalyanakrishnan, Chief Secretary, Government of U.P., Lucknow.
- 2. Shri S.D. Bagla; Secretary, Housing & Urban Development, Government of U.P., Lucknow.
- 3. Shri K.L. Gupta,
  Additional Resident Commissioner, U.P.,
  New Delhi.

Shri Dalbir Singh, Minister of State (UD), welcomed the members to the First Meeting of the Committee of the NCR Planning Board constituted by the Board in its 8th meeting held on May 17, 1988 to consider certain policies of the Regional Plan - 2001 for the National Capital Region. He stated that the Committee was required to go into depth in respect of policies relating to location of industries, wholesale trade and commerce, and fiscal aspects within a period of 3 months. The Committee inter-alia is represented by the Ministers of Urban Development of the participating States but only the Minister of Town & Country Planning, Government of Haryana could participate The Chairman felt that the Ministers of the meeting. Pradesh and Rajasthan and also the Chief Executive Councillor, Delhi should be requested to make it convenient to attend the next meeting.

2. Shri K.K. Bhatnagar, Member Secretary, NCR Planning Board said that in regard to the policy relating to industries, two questions had been raised:

one regarding Delhi where the Delhi Development Authority had suggested raising the number of workers for small scale industrial units from 20 workers to 50 workers per unit for certain specified industries. This suggestion had been accepted by the Planning Committee of the Board. The other question related to Delhi Metropolitan Area wherein the States had raised the question of allowing medium and large scale industries in Delhi Metropolitan Area in addition to small scale industries.

- 3. Shri J.A.Kalyanakrishnan, Chief Secretary, Uttar Pradesh stated that before coming to definite conclusions on various issues before the Committee, the State Governments would have to formally take definite view on these issues which could be presented in the next meeting of the Committee when all the members would be present.
- 4. Shri Verendar Singh, Minister of Town & Country Planning, Haryana stated that this Committee was specifically set up by the Board on the request of Haryana State to go into depth regarding location of large and medium scale industries in DNA towns. He reiterated that this item concerned the Haryana State most as one-third of that State was under the National Capital Region. He added that as all the Ministers of the States were not present in the meeting, the decisions representing the views of the State Governments would not be available.
- The Chief Secretary, Uttar Pradesh; agreeing with the views of Minister, Haryana, said that Uttar Pradesh would be in full agreement for enforcing strict control on location of industries in Delhi U.T., but in case of DMA towns like Ghaziabad and NOIDA, the views would have to be based on the provisions of the respective Master Plans approved by the State Government. He also mentioned that in cases of projects both in public and private sectors, the lending institutions were raising objections as to whether 'No Objection Certificate' had been obtained from the NCR Planning Board. Member Secretary clarified that the NCR Planning Board had issued no directions for obtaining NOCs and in fact, on a reference made by the Department of Chemicals and Petrochemicals about a particular case, certain guidelines were prepared by the Planning Committe with a view to assist the existing units to obtain necessary licences etc. from the comptetent authority directly.
  - 6. Shri K.K.Mathur, Chief Secretary, Delhi Administration, felt that this Committee should take a view on certain issues. He said that industries had been set up by the States in the Delhi Metropolitan

Area but their entrepreneurs continued to live in Delhi. According to him, there was no objection in locating both small and medium type of industries in DMA as long as they did not create pollution.

- 7. Shri A.N. Mathur, Secretary, Town & Country Planning Department, Haryana stated that the need for adequate funds for development of Delhi Metropolitan Area towns and for strengthening infrastructure in them needed no over-emphasis.
- The Member Secretary gave a genesis of the Delhi - 1961 and NCR Plan - 1973 according D M A towns were envisaged to be developed Master Plan These ring Towns had achieved a very high of growth but their growth did not retard the ring towns. growth of Delhi as evident from the Censuses of 1971 and 1981. On the other hand, development of Ring Towns gave rise to intensive interactions between these towns which have been choking the transport While developing the ring towns, the which Delhi emphasis was on developing industrial areas, but the concomitant facilities like provision of housing, water supply, education, health and power did not take place. Minister of Haryana, disagreeing with the above that the development of DMA towns had really helped the Delhi Metropolis in curbing the growth of Delhi which would otherwise have been much than recorded in 1981 Census. that the planning of National Capital Region required the intervention of the Prime Minister and, he reiterated the views of Chief Minister, Haryana in the 8th meeting of the NCR Planning Board held on to this effect.
  - g. Shri Verendra Singh stated that if DDA continued developing areas like Rohini, Narela township apart from developing large wholesale markets within Delhi, the pressure on Delhi would not be reduced and, Delhi would continue to exert more and more magnetic pull for all types of activities and consequent inflow of migrant population.
  - 10. Shri Prem Singh, Executive Councillor Delhi stated that the growth of Delhi was mainly on account of inmigration from the neighbouring states and, it was the reponsibility of the States concerned to provide adequate employment opportunities, housing, educational facilities etc in their towns around Delhi so that migration to Delhi is reduced. So far as Delhi was concerned, Delhi Administration had to be responsible to meet the requirements of the ever growing population to provide these basic facilities. With a view to decongesting central core areas, steps had been taken

to develop 'Mandies' such as Azad Pur for fruits and vegetables at the periphery in accordance with the Delhi Master Plan. Shri Singh strongly advocated to develop the towns falling outside Delhi by the concerned State Governments.

The Chief Secretary, Uttar Pradesh stated that if the growth of Delhi had to be curbed, development of economic activities by locating them outside Delhi would have to be taken up in a serious manner. advocated that the provision of infrastructure in such towns outside Delhi UT should be comparable to that of Delhi. He said that State Governments were not in a position to develop these towns on standards higher than what were normally provided through the resource that the States could muster. He also stated that a large State like Uttar Pradesh comprising 57 districts could not give a differential treatment only for the three districts falling within the NCR. If such an approach was adopted, it would lead to regional imbalance and disparity in the level of development which was neither politically nor socially desirable. the need for investments and provisions of Therefore, adequate fund as an additionality by the Central Government could not be over-emphasised. The Chief Secretary said that after the regional Plan had been approved by the Board, the preparation of Sub-regional Plans to be under-taken by State Governments should identify the investments required for normal State Government activities and should identify clearly the need if any, for up-gradation of infrastructure both at the town level and at the regional level through the funds over and above State's contribution. The Secretary felt that the problem of urbanisation shou.ld not be viewed only in the context of industrialisation. He felt that the provision of transport and telecommunucations could open up vast for development and opportunities for growth as evident in the case of NOIDA. He gave example as how telecommunication facilities had started affecting the growth of trade and business in areas which devoid of such facilities earlier. He mentioned that DMA towns like Ghaziabad and NOIDA need to be provided with better communication facilities. Emphasising the need for better transport network in the Region, he argued that provision of transport network around Delhi would allow the movement of goods and passenger traffic bypassing Delhi. If objective of decongesting through provision of bypasses was to be achieved, the State Government would have to be financially helped to upgrade, strengthen and provide such bypasses in the Sub-regions.

- 12. The Chief Secretary U P also said that the NCR Planning Board should devise a consultative mechanism whereby preparation of Sub-regional Plans and Master Plans for DMA towns and other towns in the NCR could be completed in time. Once, the Regional Plan had been agreed to by the NCR Planning Board, the sub-regional plans and master plans of individual towns could be cleared by this forum and then there should be no inhibition in developing the towns by the state agency according to the provisions of the Master Plans.
- Chief Secretary, Delhi Administration, endorsing the views of the Chief Secretary, Uttar Pradesh strongly advocated for provision of adequate to the State Governments. He felt that availability of the power to the States was most important single factor for development of the areas outside Delhi. He also felt that industry should be encouraged outside Delhi. He said that the restriction the number of workers in the industrial units would be difficult to follow. Member Secretary explained the provision as contained in Delhi Master Plan which follows a different categorisation of industries and which could enable even development of industries other than small scale, and stated that this necessitated for restricting the growth through the number of workers per unit. Shri A.N.Mathur, Secretary, Haryana, referring to the recommendation of the Baijal Committee stated that the Committee's report advocated disincentives for location of industries with in 100 km of Delhi and incentives are envisaged in areas beyond that limit only.
- 14. Shri Chandra Prakash, Secretary, Rajasthan, argued that there should be no restriction in putting up industries and only policy of incentives and encouragement should be pursued. He felt that fiscal aspects need to be given higher priority to equalise the taxes in the constitutent parts of the NCR. He felt that subsidy should be given for infra-structure development projects like the over-bridge in Alwar.
  - 15. The Chairman, concluding the discussions said that this meeting by and large brought out the need for provision of basic infrastructure in the NCR and investments by the Central Government. The Ministers concerned should be requested to participate in the next meeting of the Committee preferably before the monsoon session of the Parliament. He was hopeful that the next meeting would be able to decide on the issues the next meeting would be able to decide on the issues thenks of the Committee. The meeting ended with a Vote of thanks of the Chair.

\*\*\*\*

No. K-14011/23/88-NCRPE(Ft)
NCR PLANNING BOARD
TOC BUILDING, B WING
7TH FLOOR? JANPATH
NEW DELHI

Dated the 21st July, 1988.

Copy to all the Members and participants.

(K K Bhatnagar)
Member Secretary

Minutes of the Second Meeting of the Committee of the NCR Planning Board set up to consider certain policies of Regional Plan - 2001 NCR, held at 10.00 A.M. on August 2, 1988 in the Chamber of Minister of State, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.

#### The following were present:

Shri Dalbir Singh, In Chair Minister of State, Ministry of Urban Development, New Delhi.

#### Special Invitee

Adventidation of make the property of the party Shri D.M. Sukthankar, Secretary. Ministry of Urban Development, New Delhi.

#### N C R Planning Board:

- Shri K.K. Bhatnagar, 1. Member Secretary, NCR Planning Board,
- Shri B.N. Singh,
  Chief Regional Planner,
  NCR Planning Board West 2. NCR Planning Board, New Delhi. the Pancakan students with the state of tappage to year

#### Haryana

- Shri Verendar Singh, Minister of Town and Country Planning, Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

  Shri Anil Razdan,
- 2. Director, Town & Country Planning, Government of Haryana, The state of the southwater, good but the Chandigarh.
- Shri B.D. Gulati, Shri B.D. Gulati,
  Chief Coordinator Planner, NCR,
  Government of Haryana,
  Chandigarh.

  Shri Chandra Prakash,

## Rajasthan

Secretary, Urban Development and nouse.

Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur. Secretary, Urban Development and Housing, f Rajasthan, Jaipui.

and the name and the control of the property of the grant was and the submit to

and hope at amiltonoditab and wife

the difference of an inches parent delegated to

2. Shri C.S. Mehta, Chief Town Planner, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

#### Uttar Pradesh

- 1. Shri Baldeo Singh Arya,
  Minister for Housing and Urban Development,
  Government of Uttar Pradesh,
  Lucknow.
- 2. Shri S.D. Bagla,
  Secretary, Housing and Urban Development,
  Government of Uttar Pradesh,
  Lucknow.
- 3. Shri J.P. Bhargava,
  Chief Town and Country Planner,
  Government of Uttar Pradesh,
  Lucknow.

#### Delhi Union Territory

Smt. Gita Sagar,
 Joint Secretary (L & B),
 Delhi Administration,
 New Delhi.

Shri Dalbir Singh, Minister of State, (Urban Development), welcoming the members expressed satisfaction that the Hon'ble Ministers of Uttar Pradesh and Haryana could come for the meeting. He stated that the members of the Committee from Delhi had not been able to come for the meeting due to the threat of floods in Delhi. The Secretary, UD, Rajasthan stated that their Minister could not come due to delayed flight. The Chairman requested the Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development to initiate the discussions.

Shri D.M. Sukthankar, recalled the views Government of Haryana and the Government of Uttar regarding the available infrastructural development in the towns of the respective States still remaining and other important issues, e.g., the provisions in Draft Regional Plan - 2001 NCR envisaging restricted growth of Delhi, moderate growth of DMA excluding Delhi UT and induced growth of priority towns. He said that in the light of these views, the objections/suggestions received on the Draft Regional Plan 2001 and the disucssions First Meeting of this Committee, Policies the in respect of recommendations This draft consideration of the Committee had been drafted. was circulated to the members in the meeting and, intensive discussions on each policy issue took place. The Committee, after long deliberations decided to make certain

modifications in the draft. The modified version of the policies arrived at as a result of consensus, is annexed. The Chairman desired that a draft report be prepared based on these recommendations and circulated to the Members of the Committee. The report should thereafter be placed before the Board in its next meeting for its consideration.

- 3. Shri S.D. Bagla, Secretary, Housing and Urban Development, Uttar Pradesh, referring to the proposal of a consultative mechanism to help clearing NCR Sub-regional Plans and Master Plans for various towns stated that it was felt unnecessary. Member Secretary, NCRPB reminded that, in fact, this suggestion was made by the Chief Secretary, Uttar Pradesh in the last meeting. Shri Bagla, with the approval of the Minister of Urban Development, (U.P.) withdrew the earlier suggestion.
- Bagla also referred to the demand Shri S.D. "No Objection Certificate (NOC)" from the NCR Planning Board being insisted upon by some organisations in respect both in public and private sectors, and wanted projects should be specifically included in the policies. that this specifying ing that no such certificates were required to be Member Secretary, issued by the NCR Planning Board. referring to the discussions that took place in the meeting, reiterated that the NCR Planning Board had neither issued any direction for obtaining such NOC from the nor there were any stipulations in the Regional Plan. was considerable discussion about the need of such a mention in the plan which was only a set of broad policy measures and, it was felt that this need not be done. Indivisual cases, if any, should be dealt with on merits.
- 5. Shri Chandra Prakash, Secretary, Rajasthan stated that though no part of Rajasthan State falls in the DMA, yet provision of incentives and development of infrastructure in the priority towns might speed up their development as reflected by the development of Bhiwadi, which had come up in about 10-15 years as a result of concerted efforts by the State Government.
- 6. Shri Anil Razdan, Director, Town and Country Planning, Haryana, said that the recommendations of the Baijal Committee having been accepted by the Government, would have an adverse effect on the development of the NCR. Member Secretary, reacting to this said that the decisions announced by the Ministery of Industrial Development mainly related to liberalisation of licences and a re-definition of backward areas, and, the Board would be taking up these issues with the Central Government in so far as they related to the NCR.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

and threatless is the deal. The societies resident the No. K-14011/23/88-NCRPB (Part). NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD, IOC BHAWAN, "B" WING, JANPATH, NEW DELHI.

a in Lancourt of the property of the property of the Dated, the 22nd August, 1988. Part Al Fedd Interior squart discharge and waster hear in year

Copy to all the Membears and participants.

but the parties and annual and annual and annual and the (K.K. BHATNAGAR)
MEMBER-SECRETARY.

medium, represented that the SCH Place of Poured but sultant toward any illeaction be ultistering into 1932 from the floured mery there were any attractions to the Bouterest Place. exceptions will be in the first and an incident self will el-

Dalls bundeywherey, meabor decayary, Millen randotted that. There, then manuscripe was made by the chief overstancy Utter

f. The Chundra Prakanh, Reseaser, E. D. Dalbun shated Shat.

though no past of balantima State forth in the man, yet ak principal to the median form of the palary of the palary and

In about 14-15 years as a result of concertad yilloric us the A LOUVE TO COVER WHEN I ..

Intitude and the surfited assessment out that have seen all Committee Lighter been uncontral by the layerment, uputs income

The section saded with a vale, of thought to the clinical

REPORT OF THE

COMMITTEE ON THE POLICIES RELATING

TO

LOCATION OF INDUSTRIES,

WHOLESALE TRADE AND COMMERCE,

FISCAL ASPECTS AND

DEVELOPMENT OF SEVEN LITTLE DELHIS,

AUGUST 1988

# REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON POLICIES RELATING TO LOCATION OF INDUSTRIES, WHOLESALE TRADE AND COMMERCE, FISCAL ASPECTS AND DEVELOPMENT OF 'SEVEN LITTLE DELHIS'

The National Capital Region Planning Board in its 8th meeting held on the 17th May, 1988, while considering the Regional Plan - 2001 decided to set up a Committee to consider issues relating to policy of location of industries, wholesale trade and commerce, fiscal policy for the Region and development of 'seven little Delhis'. Accordingly, as per the NCR Planning Board order no.K-14011/23/88-NCRPB(Pt.) dated 21.6.88 (annexure) the composition of the Committee is as under:

1. Minister of Town & Country Planning, Member Government of Haryana.

 Minister of State in-charge of Urban Development, Government of Uttar Pradesh.

3. Minister of Urban Development, Member Government of Rajasthan.

4. Chief Executive Councillor, Member Delhi Metropolitan Council.

5. Chief Secretary, Member Government of Haryana.

6. Chief Secretary, Member Government of Uttar Pradesh.

7. Chief Secretary,
Government of Rajasthan.

8. Chief Secretary, Member Delhi Administration.

9. Member Secretary,
NCR Planning Board. Nember-Convenor

The Urban Development Minister and Chairperson of the Board, also included the Lt. Governor of Delhi and Shri Prem Singh, Executive Councillor (Development), as special invitees.

The issues on which the Committee was required to make recommendations were :

- industries, 1) Policy relating to location of wholesale trade and commerce. This should include not only the location policies for DMA but also Delhi.
  - apart This, rationalisation of tax structure, should include the approach of dis-incentives to Policy. include the approach of trade and commerce in Delhi and the proposed shifting and decentralisation of activities in this field.
    - 3) Development of seven 'little Delhis' as suggested by the Chief Executive Councillor, Delhi.
- Committee was required to make its recommendations available to the NCR Planning Board within a period of three months.

The Committee met twice on July 8, 1988; and 2, 1988 under the Chairmanship of Minister of Ministry of Urban Development. The Secretary, August Ministry of Urban Development, and, the Secretaries of the concerned Departments of the participating states also attended the meetings. The Committee deliberated on the issues referred to it threadbare before arriving. The recommendations of the Committee a consensus. supplementary also issues as recommendations as related aspects which have a bearing on the implementation of the NCR Plan are organised in the following five sections :

- 1) Policy relating to location of industries.
- 2) Policy relating to wholesale trade and commerce.

three twenty confirmation of the section of this

- 3) Fiscal policy.
- 4) Development of seven little Delhis.
- 5) Other Recommendations the sales to remember the better by community of better and

Section-1

Policy relating to location of Industries

As proposed in the Regional Plan - 2001

As recommended by the Committee of the NCRPB

(a) Strict Control within the Union Territory of Delhi

continuing While the present policy of not promoting location of medium and large scale industries within Delhi, location of even small scale industries is to be restricted to those which employ 20 or less perons and, are required either for providing or servicing the consumer needs Delhi's population. NCR Planning Board should represented in the statutory Licensing Committee for industries in the Delhi Union Territory, so that strict compliance of these policies is ensured.

(a) Control within the Union Territory of Delhi

The present policy of not promoting location of medium and large scale industries within Delhi should be continued.

(b) Control outside Delhi but within the DMA

No large scale or medium scale industries should be permitted to be set up in the DMA. Only small scale units should be permitted.

(b) Control outside Delhi but within the DMA

While in the long term perspective, the growth of large and medium scale industries in DMA towns may have to be restricted. these industries may be permitted in the DNA towns for a period of 10 years, whereafter the policy shall be reviewed. emphasis will be on promoting growth of large and medium scale industries in priority towns in ference to DMA towns.

(c) Incentives for industries (c) Incentives for industries outside the DMA but within the NCR.

The towns selected for priority development a strong should have industrial content, and should be incentives given for location of large, medium and small scale Industrial industries. estates should be developed in these towns. Specific areas should be earmarked in the Region outside the DMA, for of nonrelocation polluting and conforming, industries obnoxious proposed for shifting in the Delhi Master Plan 2001.

The second second second

The towns selected priority development should have a strong industrial content, and incentives comparable to those given to industries in centrally declared backward areas should given for location of large, medium and small scale industries. Industrial should estates developed in these towns. Specific areas should be earmarked in the Region DMA, for outside the nonof relocation polluting and obnoxious industries proposed for shifting in

the Delhi Master Plan -

2001.

the second of the second

and the second second

by

Section-2

Policy relating to location of Wholesale Trade and Commerce:

As proposed in the Regional As recommended Plan - 2001

Committee of the NCRPB

trade and commerce in Delhi

There should not be any special

advantage in terms of preferen-

tial treatment or lower taxes by

a) Disincentives within Delhi a) Decentralisation of wholesale

An approach of disincentives to the wholesale trades which do not directly serve Delhi is proposed. Only those wholesale trades which directly serve belli and are not hazardous in nature and do not require extensive space may be allowed to continue in Delhi. For the rest, suitable locations should be developed outside Delhi.

way of incentives to wholesale trades in Delhi vis-a-vis the adjoining States. Those wholesale trades which are hazardous in nature such as plastic and PVC goods, chemical, timber, food grains, iron and steel and building materials and require extensive space may be decentralised by developing suitable additional locations outside Delhi.

b) Controlled development outside Delhi within DMA

The policy of checks and disincentives is also to be followed in case of the DMA towns, but with relaxations. Only some those wholesale trades which directly serve Delhi and the DMA towns should be allowed. There are certain wholesale trades in Delhi which are their hazardous because oflocation in congested areas and also due to bulk handling activities such as plastic and PVC goods, chemical, timber, food grains, iron and steel and building materials. These wholesale trades should be encouraged to develop in the DMA towns.

Development outside Delhi within DMA

> There are certain wholesale trades and storages in Delhi which are hazardous because of their location in congested areas and also due to bulk handling activities such as plastic and PVC goods, chemical, timber, food grains, iron and steel and building materials. These wholesale trades in addition to new trades related activities should be encouraged to develop in the DMA towns.

possibility, developing modern Markets should be explored in the Delhi Metropolitan Area towns.

possibility The Super modern developing Markets should be explored in the Delhi Metropolitan Area towns.

Limitable inclination

To the little and the state of

Tarabana and an analysis of the same and a same a same a same a same a same a

Miles Average territor had no

let the wind for the same and t

- Infinitely to the looking

recommendations of the Group of Chief Ministers' Conference.

vii) The participating States should not vie with one another to attract industries over generous. through concessions; they should give only reasonable tax concessions for industries in backward areas.

viii) Infrastructure facilities should be developed in the NCR for accelerated industrial and economic development. Specific studies should be carried out for location of trades in the NCR.

a city in his section into

recommendations of the Group of Chief Ministers' Conference.

vii) The participating States should not vie with one another to attract industries through over generous tax concessions; they should give only reasonable tax concessions for industries in backward areas.

viii) Infrastructure facilities should be developed in the NCR for accelerated industrial and economic development. Specific studies should be carried out for location of trades in the NCR.

server all a like a

egyments affect on the discussivier of missis to describe to acting

and are harded and libraries

The security of the second

A and hand for weathers.

And the state of t

the distriction of the contract controls of the section of the control of the con

to the contract of the second of the second

To promit the Court of the Cour

And the Court of t

what is the set of the Landana, and pin the local agree and set

Section-4

Development of Seven Little Delhis:

Shri Ganga Dass, Secretary, Delhi Administration was requested to send the detailed proposal on the development of Seven Little Delhis' so that it could be considered by the Committee. Since no views were received on this aspects, the Committee was not in a position to make any recommendations in this regard.

Section-5

#### Other Recommendations :

Committee reiterates the need i) The funds for the development of the Region adequate particularly priority and DMA towns strengthening the physical infrastructure in them. regards infrastructural facilities, an assessment their deficiencies in DMA and Prority towns should be made by the State Governments concerned and a programme action be drawn up to remove them as early as possible to enable the towns to develop as envisaged in the Plan. While the build-up of the infrastructure will form part of the programme of integrated development of and DMA towns, the regional Priority infrastructure would have to be a separate programme would include power, transport and communications as proposed in the Regional Plan. On the economic front, markets, credit institutions etc. of a level equal or nearly equal to that prevailing in Delhi, would need to be provided for. Provision of adequate funds to meet the above requirements in a phased manner should be made by the Central Government. in case where the investments have to be made by and funds in terms State Governments, additionality should be provided for by the Central Government.

\*\*\*\*

MINUTES OF THE 14TH MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE OF THE NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD HELD AT 11.00 A.M ON THE 3RD MAY, 1988 IN THE OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD, NEW DELHI.

The following members were present:

 Shri K.K.Bhatnagar, Member Secretary, NCR Planning Board, New Delhi

- Chairman
- 2. Shri S.M.Prasad, Deputy Director General(TP), Department of Telecommunications, New Delhi.
- 3. Shri S.M.Mital, Executive Director (MTP), Ministry of Railways, New Delhi.
- 4. Shri Anil Razdan, Director, Town & Country Planning and Chief Administrator, HUDA, Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.
- 5. Shri C.S.Mehta, Chief Town & Country Planner, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 6. Shri J.P.Bhargava, Chief Town & Country Planner, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.
- 7. Shri D.P.Gupta, Chief Engineer (Planning), Ministry of Surface Transport, New Delhi.
- 8. Shri B.N.Singh, Chief Regional Planner, NCR Planning Board, New Delhi

Member-Convenor

#### Other participants:

- Shri Shankar Aggarwal, Joint Secretary, Housing & Urban Development, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.
- Shri Sanjay P.Singh, Officer on Special Duty (L&B), Delhi Administration, New Delhi.
- 3. Shri B.D.Gulati, Chief Coordinator NCR, Government of Haryana.
- 4. Shri G.D.Mathur, Commissioner-Planning, Delhi Development Authority, New Delhi.
- 5. Shri J.C.Gambhir, Director (PPW), Delhi Development Authority, New Delhi.
- 6. Shri R.K.Gupta, Director (TPS), Deptt. of Telecommunications, Sanchar Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 7. Shri T.Gupta, Dy.Chief Engineer (C), Northern Railway, New Delhi.

- 8. Shri J.L.Parashar, Town & Country Planner, T.C.P.O., I.P.Estate, New Delhi.
- 9. Shri R.S.Bhatia, AEN/MTP(R), Patel Nagar, New Delhi.

#### Officers of the NCR Board:

- Shri S.Arunachalam, Senior Planning Engineer.
- 2. Shri R.P.Rastogi, Regional Planner.
- 3. Shri S.B. Verma,
  Associate Planner.
- 4. Shri V.K.Thakore, Senior Research Officer.
- 5. Shri Pran Nath, Deputy Director (Admn).
- 6. Shri K.L.Sachar, Finance & Accounts Officer.
- 7. Shri J.N.Barman,
  Assistant Town Planner.
- 8. Shri P.Jayapal,
  Assistant Town Planner.
- 9. Shri D.Madhu Babu, Assistant Town Planner.
- 10. Shri Man Mohan Singh, Research Officer.

Member Secretary, welcoming the members to the 14th of the Planning Committee of the NCR Planning Board that this meeting was specially convened to consider the text of the Regional Plan-2001 prior to its placement The stated written Initiating the Board for its consideration. before added that he was grateful to the Railways, he discussions, participating States and Delhi Development Authority for having The comments of the made available their comments on the text. Railways relating to the Transport Sector and Delhi Development Authority mainly to the economic profile had been included in the supplementary material and circulated to the members in this the comments received from the with along meeting. These states could be discussed in meeting. the participating Thereafter, the Agenda items were taken up for consideration.

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.1

CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE 13TH MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON APRIL 4, 1988.

The minutes of the meeting were confirmed.

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.2

CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED REPLY TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE DELHI SET-UP WITH REFERENCE TO THE NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION.

The Member Secretary stated that an Annexure to Agenda Item No.2 had been circulated in the meeting for consideration of the Planning Committee.

Reacting on the proposed reply to the Questionnaire, Shri Anil Razdan, Director, Town & Country Planning, Haryana stated that it would be advisable to mention in the reply about the resource constraints for the implementation of the NCR Plan as well. He was of the view that unless very large amount of resoubrees was made available, the plan would not take off. Shri Shankar Aggarwal Jt. Secretary, Urban Development, Government of Uttar Pradesh felt that if sufficient funds were not available, the implementing agencies would be tempted to violate the project requirements. Shri J.P.Bhargava, Chief Town & Country Planner, Uttar Pradesh also stressed the need for sufficient funds to be made available for implementation of the Plan.

Shri Anil Razdan also mentioned about the need for an unified body for integrated development of water supply, power, transport and telecommunications in the region.

Shri Sanjay P.Singh, Officer on Special Duty (L&B), Delhi Administration endorsing the views of Shri Razdan felt that there should be statutory arrangement for Plan implementation also.

Shri D.P.Gupta, Chief Engineer, Ministry of Surface Transport stressed the need for coordination of the plan implementation among the States and also the Delhi Union Territory and for making adequate provision of funds.

Shri G.D.Mathur, Commissioner-Planning, Delhi Development, Authority raised the issue of coordination for implementation of the Sub-regional Plans. He stated that drawing up of programmes, regional level linkages and action plan were steps for the successful implementation of the Plan and, to monitor and coordinate the project implementation, there should be a Body.

Shri B.N.Singh, Chief Regional Planner, NCR Planning Board explained the provisions contained in the Regional Plan regarding programming and implementation of the Plan. He stated that the Chapter relating to "Strategies and Priority Areas for Development" spelt out clearly about the requirements for the

preparation of programmes, Action Plan and Project plans by each of the participating States and the Delhi Union Territory and the Central Ministries. He further stated that such programmes would be suitably phased out to be co-terminus with the five year plans to achieve the major objectives of the Plan. He added that the Regional Plan also spelt out the components both at the Regional level and also at the local level for which integrated plans and programmes could be prepared by the respective States. The Board would, however, assist the States in the preparation of project plans and also functional plans. It is expected that the Planning Cells now being created by the participating States would be responsible for drawing up complete programmes including the tasks to coordinate investments in various centres/sectors.

Reacting to the suggestions made by the members, the Member Secretary referred to Sections 17 and 19 of the NCR Planning Board Act under which Sub-regional plans were to be prepared by each participating State within the framework of the Regional Plan and approved by the Board. The master plans for regional centres and sub-regional centres would have to conform to the provisions of the Regional and Sub-regional plans, and it was expected that the State Governments would be able to revise or amend the Master Plans under the provisions of the State Act He added that under Section 29, there was a provision for action against violation of Regional Plan and it empowered the Board to issue a notice in writing to the concerned States or the Union Territory to stop such participating the Regional Plan, and in case of omission or violation of to stop such activity, withhold such financial refusal, assistance to the concerned participating States or the Union Territory as the Board might consider necessary. However, these provisions were meant to safeguard against extreme situations entire process of plan preparation and because implementation was based on partnership approach and concensus the Board which had representation the participating States, Union Territory and the Central Government.

It was decided that a separate para be added in the draft circulated about the requirements of the funds and resources for the plan implementation.

## AGENDA ITEM NO. 3

## CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT OF THE REGIONAL PLAN 2001 - N.C.R.

On a suggestion made by the Member Secretary, it was considered appropriate to go through Chapter by Chapter of the text of the Regional Plan.

There were no comments on 'Introduction'.

Chapter - 1 - National Capital Region ... No comments

Chapter - 2 - Policy Zones ... No comments

Chapter - 3 - Demographic Profile 1981-2001

On the suggestion of Shri J.C.Gambhir, it was decided under Section 3.3 Strategies (iii) to delete the following sentences -

"In addition, the Master Plan has also observed that most of the wholesale markets located in the congested central part in old Delhi need to be decentralised and new wholesale markets to be located in the towns of Delhi Metropolitan Area."

- and add to the previous sentence to mean :

the period of incompatible uses to be shifted within a period of '5 to 10 years' as mentioned in the Master Plan instead of 'within a maximum period of 5 years'

Chapter 4 - Settlement System 1981 - 2001

The Committee decided that the identification and the role of Sub-regional centres should be mentioned in this Chapter suitably.

Chapter 5 - Rural Development

The Committee decided that identification of pilot projects at the block level should be mentioned as one of the recommendations.

Chapter 6 - Economic Profile 1981 - 2001

The Committee decided that the description in para 3 on page 6/7 be modified by the following paragraph as circulated and amended in the meeting:

The conventional approach to solve these problems had been the shifting and relocation of wholesale trade activities from the central area, mainly to the peripheral areas of Delhi and, in and, in some cases, even to some regional towns within the National Capital Region. The Delhi Master Plan - 1981 had recommended shifting and relocation of some of the wholesale markets viz. vegetable market, grain market, steel market etc. Some of these programmes have been successfully implemented (vegetable market - Loha Mandi); some have been partially successful (cycle markter); and some have failed (Food grain market). The revised Master Plan Delhi - 2001 has now again emphasised the need for decentralisation of these wholesale activities from the congested parts of the city. In the revised Master Plan of Delhi, the main emphasis has been laid on the relocation of wholesale markets in the peripheral areas of Delhi. Some of these activities which are hazardous in nature and require extensive space have been recommended to be located in the regional towns mainly, the DMA towns. Keeping in view the

fact that the relocation of these activities in Delhi Union Territory would further generate more employment opportunities and create congestion in the peripheral areas whereby creating a contiguous expansion, it would be appropriate that alternative sites for wholesale markets, presently located in the congested areas of Delhi and which are proposed to be shifted from the existing sites, should not be relocated in the Delhi Union Territory, but they should be developed in DMA and Priority Towns of the Region. Studies to carry out surveys and to identify such locations have now been initiated.

Para (c) relating to incentives for industries outside the DMA but within the NCR on page 6/13 as reproduced below should be modified as indicated:

#### Existing

## a) Disincentives within Delhi

An approach of disincentives to the wholesale trades which do not directly serve Delhi is proposed to be adopted. Only those wholesale trades which directly serve Delhi and are not hazardous in nature and do not require extensive space should be allowed to continue in Delhi. For the rest, alternative locations should be developed outside Delhi.

## To be modified as:

An approach of disincentives to the wholesale trades which do not directly serve Delhi is proposed. Only those wholesale trades which directly serve Delhi and are not hazardous in nature and do not require extensive space may be allowed to continue in Delhi. For the rest, suitable locations should be developed outside Delhi.

c) Incentives for industries outside the DMA but within the NCR

Existing: The towns selected for priority development should have a strong industrial content, and incentives should be given location of large, medium and small scale industries developing industrial estates in these towns. In addition these towns, there should be no restrictions on the growth industries in the Region except in the areas reserved for conservation.

#### To be modified as :

The towns selected for priority development should have a strong industrial content, and incentives should be given for location of large, medium and small scale industries. Industrial estates should be developed in these towns. Specific areas should be earmarked in the Region outside the D.M.A. for relocation of non-conforming, polluting and obnoxious industries proposed for shifting in the Delhi Master Plan-2001.

The Member Secretary concluded by saying that a mention would be made that the objections raised by D.D.A. in respect of C(a) and Haryana State in respect of C(b) would be considered by the Board. These modifications shall become final after the decision of the Board on these objections.

#### Chapter 7 - Fiscal measures

The Committee decided that the remaining text in this Chapter be incorporated after the Committee on the rationalisation Tax structure in the NCR has finalised its recommendations on the various issues relating to tax structure. It was informed that the Committee was to meet on 6.5.1988.

## Chapter 8 - Transport

The Committee decided that the proposal relating to Inner Grid and Outer Grid contained on page 8/12 reproduced below be modified as indicated:

Inner Grid

## Existing:

- i) On new alignments to connect Jhajjar-Gurgaon-Faridabad and Murthal-Baghpat, and
- ii) Strengthening and widening of existing alignments on Rohtak-Sonepat-Murthal, and Baghpat-Meerut.

#### To be modified as :

i) On new alignments to connect Murthal to Baghpat, and
 ii) Strengthening and widening of existing alignments on Rohtak-Sonepat-Murthal, Baghpat-Meerut and Jhajjar-Gurgaon-Faridabad.

Outer Grid

#### Existing :

i) Strengthening and widening of existing alignments on Palwal-Sohana-Rewari-Jhajjar, Rohtak-Gohana-Panipat, Meerut-Hapur-Bulandshahr-Khurja-Palwal, Khurja-NCR boundary (South), and Meerut-NCR boundary (North).

#### To be modified as:

i) Sohana-Rewari-Jhajjar, Rohtak-Gohana-Panipat, Meerut-Hapur-Bulandshahr-Khurja-Palwal, Khurja-NCR boundary (South), Meerut-NCR boundary (North) and Bhiwadi-Tijara-Kishangarh-Alwar.

On pages 8/14 and 8/15, portions relating to suggested improvements - paras (i), (ii), (iii) & (iv) reproduced below are to be modified as indicated:

### Existing:

## b) Suggested improvements

In the long term, the existing network has a number of bottlenecks which can be removed to create quite a large capacity in the rail network. The most important of them are:

- i) To cater to additional passenger traffic in the existing network, the railways would be required to increase the composition of passenger trains from 12/13 coaches at present to twenty coaches. It is expected to double the carrying capacity of existing passenger trains without increasing their number.
- ii) Creation of additional capacity by rerouting certain through trains like Frontier Mail,
- iii) Rationalisation of movement of freight traffic to Punjab/Haryana to avoid their concentration via Delhi at present,
- iv) Elimination of existing bottlenecks on short stretches by providing additional facilities such as:
- On Palwal-Faridabad-Delhi section, existing 3 lines will be converted into 4 electrified lines,
- Laying of a dedicated single Metre Gauge line from Delhi (Patel Nagar) to Rewari/Alwar. This section will not be electrified and trains will run with diesel traction on Pull-Push system,

-Addition of a line to the single line between Muradnagar and Meerut Cantonment and electrifying the entire section.

- -Quadrupling of Ghaziabad-Sahibabad section, with electrification,
- Provision of an additional third line (reversible) and, a fly-over at Khurja to enable traffic to move from Bulandshahr to Ghaziabad.
- v) In addition, it would be necessary to develop an operating complex at Patel Nagar/Brar Square and station facilities at Safdarjung Railway Station.

#### To be modified as :

- i) To cater to additional passenger traffic in the existing network served by loco hauled system. The carrying capacity of existing passenger trains could be substantially increased by adding to the number of coaches.
  - ii) Creation of additional capacity in Delhi by re-routing certain through trains.
- iii) Rationalisation of movement of freight traffic to Punjab/Haryana to avoid their concentration via Delhi at present.
- iv) Elimination of existing bottlenecks on short stretches by providing additional facilities such as:
  - On Palwal-Faridabad-Delhi section, an additional pair of lines shall be provided,
  - Laying of a single Broad gauge line from Delhi to Rewari-Alwar,
  - Addition of a line to the single line between Muradnagar and Meerut Cantonment and electrifying the entire section.
    - An additional pair of lines between Delhi-Ghaziabad and Khurja.
  - v) Development of terminal facilities at four locations in accordance with the Master Plan for Delhi 2001.
    - vi) After the implementation of the above proposals for augementation of the rail network, the following Priority Towns and towns in the D.M.A. would not have a rail link. Studies should be carried out to ascertain justification of such rail links:
      - 1. NOIDA
  - 2. KUNDLI
    - 3. BHIWADI
    - 4. DHARUHERA

Consequent to the above proposals, the maps should also be suitably modified.

#### Chapter 9 - Telecommunications

The Deputy Director General (Telecommunications) presented a paper relating to the National objectives of providing telecommunication facilities for the 7th Plan and also likely broad objectives of the 8th Five Year Plan. The Committee decided that the Chapter 9 on Telecommunications be modified in the light of the proposals received from the Department of Telecommunications.

Chapter 10 - Power

The Committee decided that a reference about the supply of power through Gas Fired Turbines be made as the successful performance of gas turbines in operation had shown the advantages of minimum gestation period in its installation and relatively being nonpolluting in nature.

Chapter 11 - Water Supply, Sewerage, Drainage and Solid Waste Management.

The Committee decided that this Chapter should include a reference to the existence of a number of agreements between the various State Governments for supply of water through rivers and their canal systems. The NCR occupying a special position need to be given a special consideration for its water supply special consideration for its water supply requirements. The Committee felt that proposal to identify the sources of water, integrated drainage system for Delhi Metropolitan Area towns and also water supply and sanitation be mentioned for further indepth studies and drawing up suitable plans.

Chapter 12 - Education and Health - No comments

Chapter 13 - Shelter

Chapter 14 - Regional Landuse - No comments

Chapter 15 - Environment & Eco-Development - No comments

Chapter 16 - Management Structure for Plan Implementation.

The Planning Committee felt that in the proposed organisational structure for implementation of the NCR Plan, instead of a Sub-region Area Development Authority, some suitable agency such as Sub-Region Area Planning and Monitoring Organisation be proposed for the implementation of the plan.

## Chapter 17 - Counter Magnet Areas

This Chapter should be modified in the light of the final findings of the Consultants, and also in consultations with the concerned State Governments.

Chapter 18 - Strategies and Priorities Areas for Development 2001.

No comments

The meeting ended with a Vote of Thanks to the Chair.

No. K-14011/2/87-NCRPB (14th)
NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD,
7th Floor, 'B' Wing, ICC Bhawan,
Janpath, New Delhi - 11 00 01

New Delhi, the 20th May, 1988.

Copy forwarded to all Members of the Planning Committee and all the participants in the meeting for appropriate action.

(B.N. SINGH)
Chief Regional Planner
&
Member Convenor

MINUTES OF THE NINTH MEETING OF THE NCR PLANNING BOARD HELD AT 4.00 P.M. ON NOVEMBER 3, 1988 AT PARLIAMENT HOUSE ANNEXE.

The following were present:

1. Smt. Mohsina Kidwai, Minister of Urban Development.

Chair-person

## GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

- GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

  2. Shri Dalbir Singh, Minister of State for Urban Dvelopment.
- 3. Shri Rajesh Pilot, Minister of State Surface Transport.
- 4. Shri Raja J. Chelliah,
  Member (Planning Commission).
- 5. Shri K.C. Sivaramakishanan, Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development.
- 6. Shri P.M. Abraham, Secretary, Ministry of Surface Transport.

  7. Shri M.N. Prasad,
  Member,
- Member. Engg., Railway Board.
- 8. Shri R.K. Takkar,
  Additional Secretary,

  Of Urban Development.
- 9. Shri P.B. Saxena,
  Joint Secretary (F)
  Ministry of Urban Development.

  10. Shri N.K. Sabharwal,
  Joint Secretary,
- Deptt. of Industrial Development.
- 11.Shri Ramesh Chandra, Dy. Adviser (HUD) Planning Commission.

## DELHI ADMINISTRATION

12. Shri Romesh Bhandari, Lt. Governor, Union Territory of Delhi.

- 13. Shri Jag Parvesh Chandra, Chief Executive Councillor, Union Territory of Delhi.
- 14. Shri V.K. Kapoor,
  Chief Secretary,
  Delhi Administration.
- 15.Shri K.S. Bains,
  Vice-Chairman,
  Delhi Development Authority.

## GOVERNMENT OF HARYANA

- 16.Shri Virendra Singh,
  Minister of Town & Planning &
  Urban Development,
  Government of Haryana.
- 17. Shri Kulwant Singh, Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana.

## GOVERNMENT OF MADHYA PRADESH

18. Shri Vishnu Rajoria,
Minister of State for Housing & Tourism,
Government of Madhya Pradesh.

## GOVERNMENT OF RAJASTHAN

19.Shri R.K. Verma, Minister of Urban Development & Housing, Government of Rajasthan.

## GOVERNMENT OF UTTAR PRADESH

- 20. Shri Baldeo Singh Arya, Minister of State Incharge of Urban Development, Uttar Pradesh.
- 21.Shri S.D. Bagla, Secretary, Housing & Urban Development, Government of Uttar Pradesh.
- 22. Shri K.K. Bhatnagar, N.C.R. Planning Board.

Member Secretary,

The Chairperson Smt. Mohsina Kidwai, Minister for Urban Development, welcomed the members and introduced the New Secretary for Ministry of Urban Development, Shri K.C. Sivaramakrishnan and Shri Romesh Bhandari, the New Governor of Delhi U.T. Initiating the discussions, Chairperson commended the recommendations of the Committee set up by the Board in its last Meeting held 17.5.1988 for consideration of the Board. She pointed that the Report of the Committee and the Regional Plan 2001 for the National Capital Region should be examined on basis of a partnership approach between the partcipating States and the Delhi UT with a view to solving the problems confronted by the National Capital. She stated that the Regional Plan would be an important mile-stone in the realisation of the concept of the National Capital Region for which the Board had put in strenuous efforts since its constitution. She mentioned that the steps taken so far had produced no noticeable effect on curbing inmigration to Delhi and according to a recent estimates, Delhi's population had already reached 82.50 lakhs as on March 31, 1988. This, she said, called for urgent action on the part of the She also called for increased investment in the Board. development of the Region, particularly in the priority sectors of transport, telecommunications and urban development both by the Central and States Governments.

The agenda items were then taken up one by one for discussions and following decisions were taken thereon.

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.1

Confirmation of the Minutes of the 8th Meeting of the Board held on 17.5.1988

On a suggestion made by Shri Verender Singh, Minister for Urban Development, Haryana, the following amendment in the minutes as circulated (Page 6) was agreed:

#### (Lines 31-34)

"He suggested that a high power committee should be set up to make in-depth study of this issue and make its recommendations to the N.C.R. Planning Board taking into account Haryana's well reasoned objections. While keeping in view the fact that one third of the area of Haryana State falls within N.C.R., the high power committee should examine the disastrous economic impact of the Proposed curbs on industrilisation in D.M.A. towns in Haryana sub region."

#### (Line 45-51)

Shri Verender Singh emphasised the fact that the policies adopted by the N.C.R. Planning Board were crucial for their State since one third of the area of Haryana was

included in the N.C.R. as compared to 3% of the area of U.P. and 1% of the area of Rajasthan falling within N.C.R."

Control and other State as a line

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.2

Action taken on the minutes of the 8th Meeting of the NCR Planning Board on 17.5.1988.

The Member-Secretary gave the details of the action taken on decisions which were noted.

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.3

Business transacted by the Planning Committee/Project Sanctioning and Monitoring Group, Personnel Group etc.

The information was noted.

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.4

Revised estimates 1988-89 and Budget estimates 1989-90 in respect of revenue (Non-Plan) and Capital (Plan) expenditure.

The. Member Secretary explained the Non-Plan and Plan expenditure, both sanctioned and revised for 1988-89 and, the Budget estimates for 1989-90. Reacting to the plan allocation, Shri Verender Singh emphasised the need for additional allocations. He said that the objectives of the Plan with which they were all concerned, were not being Pleading for additional allocations, Shri Rajesh Pilot, Minister of Surface Transport stated that the improvement of National Highways radiating from Delhi were being taken care of and should more investment be required for national highways, it should be brought to the notice of the Ministry of Surface Transport to facilitate the Ministry to The Chairperson welcoming the find additional resources. proposal of Shri Rajesh Pilot added that for additional allocations for the Central Sector programmes, \_we should takeup likewise with other concerned Ministries to enable provision of additional allocations. The Member, Planning Commission, Dr. Raja Chelliah informed the members that the previous investment proposals by the Board for additional allocations during the remaining period of the 7th Plan were brought to the consideration of the Planning Commission in a meeting with the Deputy Chairman but no-al-locations could be made due to resource crunch. He agreed that, if adequate allocations were not made for the NCR projects, the Board's existence itself would become meaningless. He suggested that necessary action should be taken right now and the Deputy Chairman of the Planning Commission should be conviced so that adequate allocations became available atleast during the 8th Plan. .

B. OH MINET AND PROPERTY

Explaining the position regarding national highways, Secretary Ministry of Surface Transport stated that for 32,000 km. national highways Rs.370 crores were allotted entire country and there was no additional or separate allocations for the NCR. He further said that for the proposed express ways and regional roads provision will have to be made by the States or the Ministry of Urban Develop-The Chairperson suggested to the Minister of Surface Transport to consider taking up some of the roads of State Governments within NCR, under a special scheme where the State Governments were not in a position to do so! Member Secretary informed that feasibility study for express ways was being taken up by professional consultants. The Minister of Surface Transport said that widening of National Highways to 4 to 6 lanes within NCR would be the responsibility to the Ministry of Surface Transport. So far the State roads were concerned, the Board should evolve a policy about sharing of expenditure between the Board as also State Government and the Ministry of Surface Transport. He also suggested that the additional resource likely to be generated as a result of the recent increase by the Parliament in the Cess on petrol and diesel for the Central Road fund, both the Central and States share, could be separately calculated for the NCR and earmarked for being spent only in the region. Intervening in the discussions Secretary, Urban Development said that in addition to national highways, some stretches of state highways should be urgently taken up for improvement. He cited the example of stretych of the State Highway from Sohna to Alwar which was not in good shape and needed immediate attention. He suggested that the Board should come out with a programme clearly indicating the phasing yearwise both in regard to National and State Highways in the Region. The Board should also work out quantum of augmentation necessary in the budget of Ministry of Surface Transport and the sharing between Board and the State Government. He suggested that before the end of the Seventh Plan itself accessibility to some of the regional towns should be substantially improved.

The Chief Executive Councillor Shri Jag Parvesh Chandra suggested that on the pattern of proposed Unified Transport Authority for Delhi there could be NCR Transport Authority which would integrate transport services operating in the region at present. Shri Rajesh Pilot, Minister of Surface Transport, responding to the suggestion, said that proposals for setting up of Transport Authority were already before the Industry. Concluding the discussion on the budgetary requirements, the Chairperson said that the Budget needed to be substantially augmented and this should be urgently taken up with the Planning Commission.

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.5

## Annual Statement of account for the year 1987-88

The state of the s

The annual accounts for the year 1987-88 were approved by the Board.

#### AGENDA ITEM NO.6

## Annual Statement for loans and advances of the year 1987-88

The annual statement of loans and advances of the year 1987-88 was circulated to the Members for information and noted by the Members.

## AGENDA ITEM NO.7

Regional Plan - Consideration of the Report submitted by the Committee set up in the pursuance of the decision in the 8th Meeting and approval of the Regional Plan.

- Member Secretary stated that the Draft of the Regional 2001 for the National Capital Region was approved by the Board in its 6th Meeting held on 21.7.87 for inviting suggestion and objections from the public. The objections and suggestions received on the Draft Plan were considered by the Planning Committee and the Planning Committee's recommendations were placed in the 8th Meeting of the Board for consideration. The Board had then decided to set up a Committee to go into policies relating to location of industries, wholesale trade and commerce and also fiscal policy, and development of Seven Little Delhi's as suggested by the Chief Executive Councillor. The Committee has made elaborate recommendations which were before the board for its consideration, alongwith the Regional Plan.
- 7.2 The Chief Executive Councillor said that the basic issue of the development of the NCR relates to development of self-contained satellite towns which could be developed with assistance from institutional and international sources to attract Delhi bound migrants. According to him the emphasis of the Plan on the contrary appears to be on the policies relating to location of industries, wholesale trade and sales tax structure relating to Delhi which are of no consequence. He gave the example of changes in the Sales Tax rates on electronic and Maruti cars by neighbouring States which had very adversely affected the revenues of Delhi Administration. He strongly advocated that instead of restructuring the existing towns as priority towns in the NCR, there should be completely new towns developed with basic facilities and employment generating activities. Intervening in the discussions, the Chairperson stated that as decided by the Board in its last meeting, a committee consi-

是是1999年,我们们是是是1964年,1964年,1964年,1969年,1964年,196 sting of the Chief Executive Councillor and the Ministers of the concerned States had been appointed and the proper forum for discussion of these basic issues was in that committee. Somehow, the Delhi Administration could not fully participate in the deliberations of that committee, but still if the Board had to discuss these basic issues again and again, it would not be possible to reach any conclusions. ring to the observations of the Chief Executive Councillor regarding development of towns, she stated that the proposed priority towns in the plan had been identified to meet all those objectives. Large extensions to these towns were envisaged so that in effect they emerged as new towns as contemplated. The continuous growth of population in Delhi was only increasing its congestion and there were even critical shortages of drinking water and electricity and hence approval of a basic plan, without which even a World Bank scheme could not be formulated, was extremely tant. Undoubtedly, there were difficulties in the development of the priority towns also but if these could accommodate large and medium scale industries and proper educational, medical and housing facilities could be developed, these could help in relieving the pressure on Delhi. larly, in the field of wholesale trade, warehousing activities and commerce, such practical and reasonable steps which would help in decentralisation, could be taken and decisions taken so that we may proceed further. She laid stress on the need for taking an early decision on the plan before the board keeping in view the fact that very controvertial issues like tax structure, could be considered later.

Shri Verender Singh, Minister of Urban Development, said that in the two meetings, the representatives Haryana, of Delhi Administration had participated and hence the issues need not be opened de novo. He further said that the large scale urbanisation being undertaken by DDA in Rohini and Narela would have the effect of increasing population and activities in Delhi and, at the same time, prevent the development of adjoining towns in the Delhi Metropolitan Area. He added that setting up of industries alongwith provision of all the basic and infrastructure facilities as self-contained units in the D.M.A. towns would solve problems of Delhi for the future. He pleaded that policy of locating large and medium scale industries in towns for the next 10 years was well thought out one would help Delhi in solving its problems.

<sup>7.4</sup> Shri Vijay Kapoor, Chief Secretary, Delhi Administration stated that the then Lt. Governor and the Chief Executive Councillor could not participate in the two meetings of the Committee set up by the Board due to various urgent preoccupations. However, Secretary (Urban Development) and the Member Secretary, NCR had recently met Lt. Governor and the Chief Executive Councillor to apprise them of the Committee's recommendations. He further said that Delhi Administration's main apprehension was that large scale

urban extension on the periphery of Delhi itself would be putting more pressure and strains on the existing infrastructure and services of Delhi. Citing the example of NOIDA he stated that the township has no Railway Station and Railway system of Delhi has to bear the burden of NOIDA. The proposal that the township should be connected with ring road of Delhi will further put pressure on the road system in Delhi. A large number of people who work in NOIDA fact live in Delhi causing strain on its services Delhi Administration has instead proposed infrastructure. development of towns away from Delhi and such towns should have the potential of attracting population from Delhi instead of attracting towards Delhi and causing a pressure on Delhi's infrastructure. As regards the recommendations of the committee on location of industries, in full agreement that large and medium scale should not be located in Delhi. recommendations, however, for allowing large and medium scale industries in DMA towns for the next 10 years would be detrimental to Delhi. Delhi Administration was also not in favour of the recommendations regarding shifting of wholesale trade and commerce from Delhi since in the absence of manufacturing activities, employment and growth will only bepossible by allowing growth of tertiary sector activities in the service sector such as education, finance, transport and wholesale trade. He felt that storage facilities which require extensive space can be located outside Delhi.

7.5 Shri Rajesh Pilot, Minister for Surface Transport remarked that the views of the Delhi Administration appeared to be very different to the thinking at the national level towards Delhi's decongestion. He further said satellite townships, as the Chief Executive Councillor had in mind, could not develop overnight and NOIDA already on its way to developing into a fulfledged town several facilities had come up. The remaining facilities would also come up in due course. Referring to wholesale trade, he said that the intention of the Government was that should not be in the centre of Delhi and, similarly, industry should develop outside Delhi. He suggested that a total project should be prepared for the development of the region which could be posed to the Government of India for external assistance as well such as for Asian Development Bank and World Bank for financial assistance. Delhi, as the national capital, was the concern of every one and the problem should be approached from that angle.

7.6 Shri Romesh Bhandari, Lt. Governor of Delhi said that the priority towns identified for development away from Delhi would have to be self-contained. A strong economic base with provision of various types of large, medium and small scale industries and provision of community facilities such as schools, hospitals with adequate housing would be a prerequisite for successful implementation of the N.C.R. Plan. As regards Delhi, there is no doubt that the wholesale

trade is causing congestion on the traffic arteries in terms of increasing volume of truck traffic. He suggested warehousing and the storage godowns can easily be located outside Delhi and thereby reducing the pressure on the traffic arteries. Delhi has experienced rapid growth vehicular traffic. There were as many as 13.50 lakhs registered vehicles in Delhi which is increasing at the rate of 1.5 to 1.75 lakhs per year. He felt that developing new townships may not solve the problem. The development of the existing towns, with their re-structuring, would appropriate in the context of available resources and implementation capabilities.

- Bains, Vice Chairman, Delhi Development 7.7 Shri K.S. Authority was apprehensive about the population assignement for Delhi U.T. as 11.2 million by the year 2001. He that this size could only be achieved if migration was totally stopped and the birth rate was also brought down substantially which appeared to be doubtful. He felt that the development of DMA towns at the periphery of UT of Delhi, particularly with the provision of large and medium Delhi, particularly with the provision of large and median scale industries and recommended by the committee for the next 10 years, would aggravate the situation in Delhi putting more pressure on the existing infrastructure and would invite large scale migration and thus Delhi should be in fact planned for 16.5 million by the year 2001. He also cited the example of Hosur located near Bangalore where the development of industries had put pressure on Bangalore in the sense that the workers residing at Bangalore commute to Hosur everybody. He added that thus the Planned Policy to contain Delhi's growth to 11.2 million and allowing at the same time DMA towns to grow contradictory to each other which will result in more problems in terms of traffic congestion, pollution and severe pressure on the services in Delhi. He stated that the NCR objectives and the proposals in the Draft Delhi Master Plan appeared to be contradictory to each other in their assumptions and policies.
- 7.8 The representatives of the Ministry of Industry reminded the Members of the Board about the recent policy announcement of the Government in June, 1988 discouraging location of any large and medium scale industries within 50 kms from cities of 25 lakhs population which may come in conflict with the recommendation relating to development of industries in DMA towns.
- 7.9 Secretary, U.P., reacting to the remarks made by the Chief Secretary, Delhi Administration, said that the towns in the D.M.A. in UP and Haryana in fact were conceived for development of industries to rescue Delhi from congestion. NOIDA was established in fact at the initiative of the Centre for location of industries away from Delhi and thus came to its rescue by accommodating part of Delhi's

population and several industries. He stated that fifty per cent of the NOIDA's population and workers were from Delhi and instead of being grateful for the development of NOIDA for having shared the problems of Delhi, it was painful that the creation of NOIDA was being condemned. On the point raised by the Chief Executive Councillor about revising the tax structure on electronics, the Secretary, Uttar Pradesh said that the concessions were given at NOIDA only to attract as many small scale industries as possible with the intention to help Delhi.

Intervening in the discussion, the Secretary (UD), 7.10 Government of India said that there appeared to be more agreement to the Regional Plan proposals than otherwise. He added that the apprehension that some large scale industries were waiting in queue for locating them in the Delhi Metropolitan Area, and in immediately surrounding towns like Ghaziabad, NOIDA, Faridabad, Gurgaon and Kundli was not correct as the land in these places has already been fully occupied. Regarding the suggestions of the Chief Executive Councillor and also others that new towns should be sufficiently away from Delhi and be self-contained units, he said that these were in fact the proposals of the Regional Plan where towns identified such as Panipat, Meerut, Bulanshahr-Khurja, Palwal, Alwar, Rohtak etc. are sufficiently away from Delhi and they were proposed to be developed as self-contained units as modern lines. Thus, the suggestions by the members, including the Chief Executive Councillor, were fully met. Views referred so far at the meeting clearly indicated, there was agreement on the transport network, on the telecommunications network and on the infrastructure requirements which the Regional Plan stipulated. What therefore, was to be done was to accept the regional structure and the land use, elaborate the investment plans for the development of various sectors, and work for mobilising the resources to come from the various Central Ministries, State Governments as well as the NCR Board. He added that this should be taken up with the Planning Commission for necessary allocation of funds so that the programmes are implemented quickly. So far as the policy regarding location of industries is concerned it was obvious that locational problem of any particular industry would have to be settled in the context of National Industrial Policy. Finally, in regard to wholesale trade, the proposal of the sub-committee was for decentralisation, development of additional locations and infrastructure in the region and not for physical shifting. Thus the Regional Plan with the modifications as suggested by the Committee, could be approved by the Board.

7.11 The Chief Executive Councillor expressed his reservations on the tax policies as contained in the Plan. The Chairperson suggested that the policies relating to fiscal matter (Chapter -7) could be excluded from the Plan document and it could be dealt with separately, since it

required further detailed examination and consideration. However, the minister of Town Planning, Haryana, referring to the meetings of sub-committee of the Board stated that in both the meetings of the Committee representatives of Delhi Administration at the level of Chief Secretary, Executive Councillor (Development) and the Joint Secretary (L&B) were present and, the issues before the committee were threadbare discussed under the Chairmanship of Honourable Minister of State (UD), Shri Dalbir Singh for more than three hours in each sitting. He mentioned that after having deliberated all the points in detail in the meetings of the Committee, to raise objections in adopting the Plan now was not appropriate. If such procedure was adopted there would be no end to discussions and no conclusions may be arrived at. He also requested that his speech as circulated in the meeting may be treated as read.

7.12 Minister of Urban Development, U.P., referred to the allocations made for developing the priority towns particularly in U.P. State. He pointed out that out of the 19 lakhs population which was proposed to be deflected from Delhi, 12 lakhs would have to be accommodated within the U.P. towns till 2001. Keeping in view the time limit to achieve this target, he added that the investment so far made have been too scanty, and that had to be multiplied many times so that the Plan proposals would be fully implemented. He referred to some of the schemes being taken up with assistance of the Board and said that though such developments were taking place, the speed and the quantum were too meagre in view of the development requirements by 2001. He requested to enhance the allocations for developing these towns henceforth.

Minister from Rajasthan was of the 7.13 Shri R.K. Verma, view that development of large scale activities, including industries, around Delhi would not solve the problem as the entire pressure would still be on Delhi itself. Instead, he suggested that these pressures should be taken to towns away from Delhi and in this regard he referred to the long pending request of the State of Rajasthan for extending the National Capital Region to other parts of Rajasthan like Bharatpur, Kama and Deeg. He felt that instead of taking developments around Delhi in other States it would be better if the burden of Delhi is taken for away to Alwar, Bhiwadi and other towns of Rajasthan where the necessary infrastructure in health, education, transport, including railways could all be developed to render them self-sufficient and self-contained. This would incidentally also compensate the injustice done to Rajasthan by nature. He requested for more allocation and investments in programmes such as railway Broad Gauge line linking the Rajasthan towns, hospitals, educational institutions etc. in the Rajasthan Sub-Region. He also requested the Chairperson to treat the speech circulated to the Members, of Chief Minister of Rajasthan, who could not attend as read. This was agreed to.

p C t r t a 7 G 8 a W M G C 0 C 8 S P B **9** d t E a t S t i A: VI NI P t pi

0

W

I:

a: i

C

7

T

f

Summing up the consensus, the Lt. Governor of Delhi 7.14 said that development of self-contained towns away from Delhi and developing warehousing and cold storages out of which would curtail considerable traffic movements in Delhi should be accepted. As far as location of industries are concerned that will be bound by the provisions of industrial policy announced by the Central Government. the case of Sales Tax the actual differences in the levies between the different States in the region and their needed to be studied in further detail and the proposals for rationalisation could be worked out later. With this, he suggested that the Plan could be adopted and approved by the Board. Mr. Rajesh Pilot suggested that in view of this broad agreement, Shri Verendra Singh may propose and the Lt. "Governor may second the proposal for adoption of the Plan. This was unanimously agreed to and the Regional Plan was accepted along with amendments of the industrial and wholesale market location policy as suggested by the Sub-Committee. Chapter VII relating to Fiscal Policy would modified after the subject is studied further.

- 7.15 The Chairperson also suggested that modifications in the location policy of industries as a special case for NCR, should be taken up with the Ministry of Industrial Development wherever necessary. With these observations the Regional Plan 2001 NCR was unanimously approved.
- 8. With the permission of the Chair, Shri Verendra Singh suggested that the provision in the Plan relating to preparation of master Plans should be modified to include only consultations with the Board. This was agreed to. He also requested that in view of the importance of Haryana for the NCR, more towns should be identified as Counter Magnate towns. Member Secretary clarified that in the first phase only one town from each state was being taken up. However, depending on the resources available and experience gained there should be no bar to considering other towns as well in future.

K-14011/50/88-NCRPB
NCR PLANNING BOARD
7th FLOOR, 'B' WING,
JANPATH BHAWAN, JANPATH,
NEW DELHI-110001.

Dated the 29th Nov. '88

Copy forwarded to all Members and Officers for information and necessary action.

(K.K. BHATNAGAR) MEMBER SECRETARY

RS ECRP

A MARINE REPORT OF THE STREET WAS TO SEE THE